2026:AHC:210385

# HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

## CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35618 of 2026

Anees Muhammad

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Counsel for Applicant(s) | : | Rajat Agarwal, Virpratap Singh |
| Counsel for Opposite Party(s) | : | G.A. |

**Court No. - 69**

**HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.**

1. Heard Sri Virpratap Singh, learned counsel for the accused-applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the accused-applicant **Anees Muhammad** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 393 of 2025, under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3) BNS, P.S.- Rath, District-Hamirpur, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the accused-applicant that the accused-applicant has been falsely implicated in F.I.R. dated 03.09.2025 lodged by the Consolidation Lekhpal against nine named accused and the operator of Jan Seva Kendra. The accused-applicant is an operator of Jan Seva Kendra. It is alleged in the F.I.R. that the accused-applicant has uploaded the forms of other accused with incorrect entries, which shows the incorrect forms, incorrect property and incorrect fee details and some of the government land also used for insurance. Later on, all the insurance papers were rejected. Further contended that the accused-applicant has been provided with the document by other co-accused and it is on the basis of those documents that he has uploaded the forms. He is only an operator of Jan Seva kendra. He has not manufactured any document or upload any incorrect details. There is no incriminating documents available on record, except the statement of the other co-accused, who have not been charge sheeted and in their statements, they have stated that the accused-applicant himself got manufactured the documents and uploaded it for purpose of insurance, which is Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima

Yojna. The charge sheet is submitted. The trial is still at the nascent stage. The applicant has no criminal history. The applicant is in jail since 13.08.2026 and hence, the applicant seeks to be released on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant, but could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considered the role of accused-applicant, who is only an operator of Jan Seva Kendra, whose work is to upload the forms of insurance, provided by the farmers. There is apparently no incriminating documented material against the accused-applicant, except the statements.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

**(Avnish Saxena,J.)**

**October 7, 2026**
Shivangi

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVANGI YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad