2026:AHC:208496

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 42929 of 2026

Noor Alam And 2 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Mayank Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 80

HON'BLE CHAWAN PRAKASH, J.

1. Heard Mr. Mayank Yadav, learned Counsel for the applicants and learned AGA for the State and perused the records.

2. The present application under Section 528 BNSS has been filed by the applicants with the following prayer:

"It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to quash the entire criminal proceedings of Case No. 1969 of 2026 (State Versus Noor Alam and others) arising out of Case Crime No.491 of 2025, Police Station Meja, District Yamunanagar (Commissionerate Prayagraj), under Sections 85, 115(2), 74, 352, 351(3) B.N.S. and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (State Versus Noor Alam and others) pending in the Court of A.C.J.M. 10, Prayagraj on the basis of compromise held between the parties on 03.08.2026 and compromise verified by the trial court vide order dated 09.09.2026. And/or pass such other and further order which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the present case. So that justice may be done with the applicants."

3. Learned Counsel for the applicants has submitted that the applicants and opposite party no.2 have compromised the dispute. It is further argued that the said compromise has also been verified by the concerned Trial Court, as such the proceedings against the applicants may be quashed.

4. Learned A.G.A. admitted the fact of compromise and stated that he has no objection if the proceeding of the aforesaid case are quashed against the applicants.

5. From the perusal of the record it is apparent that parties have entered into

compromise and have settled their dispute amicably.

6. The law with regards to quashing of a case on the basis of settlement arrived between the parties is well settled. The Apex Court in the cases of **(1) B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana and another: (2003)4 SCC 675; (2) Nikhil Merchant Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation : (2008) 9 SCC 677; (3) Manoj Sharma Vs. State and others: (2008) 16 SCC 1; (4) Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab: (2012) 10 SCC 303; (5) Shaifullah and others Vs. State of U.P. And another: 2013 (83) ACC 278 and (6) Parbatbhai Ahir@Parbatbhai @ Bhimsinbhai Karmur and others Vs. State of Gujarat and another: (2017) 9 SCC 641** has held that the cases in which the parties have settled their grievances can be quashed.

7. Upon perusal of the records and the law laid down by the Apex Court on the subject, this is a fit case for exercising powers of this Court to quash the proceedings, as prayed for by the applicants.

8. The entire proceedings of the aforesaid case, insofar as the present to the present applicants, are hereby **quashed**.

9. The present application is **allowed**.

October 6, 2026
Vijay

(Chawan Prakash,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VIJAY KUMAR SAHU
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad