HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35350 of 2026

Ravindra

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Anil Kumar Dubey
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Sri Anil Kumar Dubey, learned counsel for applicant hands up supplementary affidavit explaining the criminal history, the same is taken on record.

1A. Heard Sri Dubey, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant accused-**Ravindra** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 322 of 2026, under Sections 317(2), 317(5), 112(2), 318(4), 317(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 3(5) of BNS, P.S.- Devraniya, District- Bareilly, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in FIR dated 04.08.2026 lodged by police against nine persons including the accused applicant with the allegation that three persons namely Rohit, Govind and Ashok were apprehended by the patrol police wherein the recovery of stolen motorcycle was shown. It is further submitted that recovery and arrested has been made in the absence of independent witness. The name of accused application is figured out from the statement of co-accused. The accused applicant has criminal history of two cases wherein he is on bail. Investigation is continuing and he is co-operating in the investigation. Co-accused has already been granted bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court. The accused applicant is languishing in jail since 04.08.2026. He seeks enlargement of accused on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State opposed the bail application.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering that the name of accused applicant has been figured out from the statement of co-accused, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 28, 2026
Sharad/-

Digitally signed by :-
SHARAD KUMAR SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad