HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 10432 of 2026

Sikandar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Maksood Ahmad, Mohd. Afzal Ansari
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, the Learned counsel for the applicant, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.246 of 2026, under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) BNS, P.S.- Shahganj, District- Jaunpur, with a prayer to enlarge him on anticipatory bail as the applicant apprehends his arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that the allegation against the applicant is of obtaining a second passport after changing material details. Learned counsel has stated that the applicant had wanted to renew his passport but was informed that the same could only be done online and he states that due to some mistake in the entries made at the Jan Seva Kendra by the operator, a fresh passport was applied for and issued in favour of the applicant. Learned counsel for the applicant has further contended that he shall deposit/surrender both the passports. The applicant has no criminal antecedents.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail but does not point out any criminal antecedents of the applicant. Learned A.G.A. has contended that the date of birth and mother's name and also wife's name

has been changed in the application for the subsequent passport, which clearly shows the mal-intent of the applicant. Learned A.G.A., however, does not object to the applicant depositing/surrendering both the passports.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned counsels for the parties and perused the material brought on the record.

6. The applicant claims that due to a mistake of the Jan Seva Kendra operator, the second passport was applied for instead of renewal of the earlier passport. The applicant has no criminal antecedents and has expressed his willingness to deposit/surrender both the passports.

7. Taking into account the lack of criminal antecedents of the applicant and also the willingness to surrender/deposit both the passports, a case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

8. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is **allowed**.

9. In the event of the arrest of the applicant **Sikandar**, he shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

i. the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. that the applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. that the applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

v. that the applicant shall surrender both his passports before the Regional Passport Office at Lucknow.

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 28, 2026
Sachin

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
SACHIN TIWARI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad