2026:AHC:209184-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 25083 of 2025

Ravindra Gupta And 2 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Harsh Kumar Anand, Mukhtar Alam, Saquib Mukhtar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Vikrant Gupta, G.A., Yatharth Srivastava

Court No. - 47

HON'BLE RAJEEV MISRA, J.
HON'BLE JAI KRISHNA UPADHYAY, J.

1. Heard Mr. Yavad Mukhtar, Advocate, holding brief of Mr. Harsh Kumar Anand, learned counsel for petitioners, learned AGA representing State-respondents 1 to 3 and Mr. Yatharth Srivastava, Advocate, learned counsel representing first informant-respondent 4, Priya Gupta.

2. Perused the record.

3. Petitioners Ravindra Gupta and 2 others have approached this Court by means of present criminal miscellaneous writ petition challenging the impugned FIR dated 24.10.2025 lodged by first informant respondent 4 -Priya Gupta and registered as Case Crime No. 0290 of 2025, under Section 69, 352, 351(3) BNS, Police Station - Madihan District - Mirzapur.

4. Present writ petition came up for admission on 14.11.2025 and this Court passed the following order.

"1. Vakalatnama filed by Sri Vikrant Gupta on behalf of the respondent no.4 be kept on record. Short counter affidavit filed today be also kept on record.

2. This writ petition has been filed for quashing of the first information report dated 24.10.2025 registered as Case Crime No. 290 of 2025, under Sections 69, 352, 351(3) of B.N.S., 2023, Police Station - Madihan, District - Mirzapur.

3. Contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the parties have compromised the matter and have reduced the compromise into writing. It would be in the interest of justice that the original of the compromise be placed before the Investigating Officer who shall verify the same after taking the statements of the parties, the matter be placed before the concerned Magistrate who would after taking statements of the parties submit a report through the Registrar Compliance of this High Court.

4. List this case on 10.12.2025.

5. Till the next date of listing, the petitioners shall not be arrested pursuant to the aforesaid impugned FIR".

5. On the matter being taken up, Mr. Yatharth Srivastava representing first informant - respondent 4 submits that during pendency of present writ petition, Investigating Officer, upon completion of statutory investigation of aforementioned case crime number, in terms of Chapter XIII BNSS, has already forwarded the charge sheet/police report dated 25.12.2025 as contemplated in Section 193(3) BNSS. Cognizance upon the same has also been taken by the the jurisdictional magistrate vide cognizance taking order darted 15.04.2026 in exercise of jurisdiction under Section 210 BNSS. As such, we are of the considered opinion that present writ petition has been rendered infructuous by efflux of time.

6. Considering the above, and also the law laid down by Apex Court in the case **Pradnya Pranjal Kulkarni** Vs. **State of Maharashtra and Another, 2025 SCC OnLine SC 1948,** the present writ petition has now been rendered infructuous. It is accordingly dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

7. Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.

October 6, 2026
S.K.S.

(Jai Krishna Upadhyay,J.) (Rajeev Misra,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
SUNIL KUMAR SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad