HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 42385 of 2026

Jitendra Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Anil Kumar Singh, Divyesh Singh Bisen
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 75

HON'BLE SANJAY KUMAR PACHORI, J.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to inadvertence the prayer has been wrongly mentioned.

Learned counsel for the applicant is permitted to correct the same during the course of hearing.

Sri Divyesh Singh Bisen, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri Alok Mishra, learned A.G.A. for the State are present.

The present application under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed to quash the order dated 20.11.2024 in Session Trial No. 86 of 2017 arising out of Criminal Case No. 1191 of 2016, under Sections 302, 120-B of I.P.C., and Section 3(2)(v) of S.C./S.T. Act, Police Station Ranipur, District Mau, whereby Non Bailable Warrant has been issued against the applicant pending in the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Court No. 2.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was on bail at the time of issuing of Non-Bailable Warrant. It is further submitted that the impugned order has been passed without satisfying itself that the summon and other process for attendance has been served. It is further submitted that the impugned order has been passed without considering the position of law. It is settled position of law that N.B.W. should be issued as a last resort after the litigant fails to respond the notices/summons and bailable warrant. It is further submitted that non-bailable warrant has been issued without issuing any summon and bailable warrant.

Learned A.G.A. has opposed the application.

Considering submissions of learned counsel and perusing record, the instant application under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is finally disposed of with a direction that in case applicant appears before the court below within three weeks from today and applies for bail/recall of non bailable warrant, his application shall be decided in the light of the observations made in the judgments rendered by the Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Another, (2021) 10 SCC 773, Siddharth Vs. The State of Uttar Pradesh & Another 2022 (11) SCC 676 and Aman Preet Singh Vs. C.B.I. through Director 2021 SCC Online SC 941.**

For a period of three weeks from today or till applicant appears before the court below, whichever is earlier, execution of non bailable warrant against applicant shall be kept in abeyance.

October 29, 2026
Ishan

(Sanjay Kumar Pachori,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
ISHAN JAISWAL
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad