2026:AHC:209796

# HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**WRIT - A No. - 16558 of 2026**

Vishwapal Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Counsel for Petitioner(s) | : | Ghan Shyam |
| Counsel for Respondent(s) | : | C.S.C., Satyawan Shahi |

**Court No. - 32**

**HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record on Board.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 06.11.2025, whereby the representation submitted on his behalf seeking appointment on compassionate grounds has been rejected.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that father of the petitioner died in harness on 31.01.2026 while serving on the post of Assistant/Driver. Petitioner's representation seeking compassionate appointment has been rejected on the ground that by Resolution No.13 dated 12.12.2024, passed by the Board of Directors, all posts of Class-IV employees had been abolished. Learned counsel for the petitioner has emphasized that the petitioner's father was working as Assistant/Guard and that a vacancy always exists on the post of Guard.

4. Learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents no.1 to 4 and Shri Satyawan Shahi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents no.5 & 6 have contended that all the posts of Class IV employees had been abolished pursuabnt to Resolution No.13 dated 12.12.2024; however, petitioner's father died on 31.01.2026. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to be appointed on compassionate grounds. It is further contended that, by the same resolution, an arrangement has been made for engagement of the dependents of deceased employees, who were in service on 12.12.2024 or thereafter, as outsourced employees, having regard to their financial condition. It is further contended that if the petitioner

moves a fresh representation seeking his engagement as a outsourced employee, pursuant to the Resolution No.13 dated 12.12.2024, the same shall be considered and decided by the Nagar Sahkari Bank Limited, Gorakhpur (respondent no.5), within such stipulated period, as may be directed by this Court.

5. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Accordingly, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with liberty to the present petitioner to move a fresh representation with respect to his grievances, as raised in this petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the instant writ petition before the respondent no.5, who, in turn, shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of production of the same.

6. With the aforesaid directions, instant writ petition stands finally **disposed of.**

**(Dinesh Pathak,J.)**

**October 7, 2026**
VR

Digitally signed by :-
VIBHA RATAN
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad