HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 41917 of 2026

Manish And 2 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Somdev Dixit
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 76

HON'BLE SAURABH SRIVASTAVA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicants and learned AGA for the State.

2. The present application has been preferred for seeking quashing of cognizance order dated 30.7.2026 as well as entire proceeding of Case no. 25275 of 2026 (State vs. Manish and others) arising out of Case Crime no. 22 of 2026 under sections 115(2), 352, 351(2) BNS, PS- Khergarh, District Firozabad.

3. Learned counsel for applicant has made only one submission before the Court that offences under Sections 115(2), 352, 351(2) BNS are non-cognizable and in view of the explanation to Section 2(1)(h) BNSS, the charge sheet filed by the Investigating Officer concerned cannot proceed as a police case and the same is liable to be proceeded with as a complaint case. In support of his submission, learned counsel of applicant has placed reliance upon the judgments passed in the case of **Shakila Bano and others Vs. State of U.P. and another, 2008 (61) ACC 636.**

4. Although, learned AGA opposed the prayer sought through the instant application but did not dispute the submissions so made by learned counsel for applicant.

5. Since only legal arguments have been raised at the behest of applicants, process to issue notice to opposite party no. 2 is hereby dispensed with.

6. Before proceeding further, the relevant provisions of Section 2(1)(h)

BNSS are being reproduced for ready reference as under:-

> *"2. Definitions.-In this Sanhita, unless the context otherwise requires,-*
>
> *(h) "complaint" means any allegation made orally or in writing to a Magistrate, with a view to his taking action under this Sanhita, that some person, whether known or unknown, has committed an offence, but does not include a police report.*
>
> *Explanation.- A report made by a police officer in a case which discloses, after investigation, the commission of a non- cognizable offence shall be deemed to be a complaint; and the police officer by whom such report is made shall be deemed to be the complainant."*

7. In view of the above explanation, charge sheet submitted by the concerned Investigating Officer in the present case under Sections 115(2), 352, 351(2) BNS shall be treated as complaint and it is to be decided as complaint and the learned Magistrate fell in legal error by taking cognizance as State case and the orders passed by him is, therefore, liable to be set aside.

8. In view thereof, order dated 30.7.2026 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)/Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Firozabad summoning the applicants on the basis of chargesheet submitted by concerned Investigating Officer under Sections 115(2), 352, 351(2) BNS treating the same as State case, is **set aside.**

9. Accordingly, the instant application is **partly allowed.**

10. However, it is made clear that this order shall not preclude the learned court concerned to proceed with the case treating it as complaint case, if required, in accordance with law.

(Saurabh Srivastava,J.)

October 27, 2026
Shaswat

Digitally signed by :-
SHASWAT SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad