2026:AHC:209501

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 34674 of 2026

Ankit Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Suraj Upadhyay, Vivek Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Vivek Singh, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for State.

1A. Learned counsel for the applicant hands up the supplementary affidavit explaining the criminal history, the same is taken on record.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant/ accused- **Ankit Yadav** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 115 of 2026, under Sections 303(2), 324(4) and 317(2) BNS, P.S.- Sector 113, District- Gautam Budh Nagar, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the FIR has been lodged on 05.04.2026 by Amarnath R. Chaynan, informant against anonymous persons with the allegation that there was a theft and mischief in his car after broke open of the window. It is further disclosed that there are belongings of the informant in the briefcase and laptop, which was found stolen from the car. It is the contention of learned counsel that the arrest and recovery has been made in absence of independent witness. The applicant has criminal history of 12 cases and almost all are of similar nature imposed by the police persons and in all the cases he is on bail. The charge sheet is submitted. The accused applicant is languishing in jail since 11.05.2026. He seeks to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering the arrest and recovery has been made in the absence of independent witness and except the disclosure statement there is no other material against the accused, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 7, 2026
Abhishek Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK RANJAN SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad