HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 40012 of 2026

Ranveer Singh And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Vishal Agarwal
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Kaushal Kishore Mani

Court No. - 37

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard Sri Vishal Agarwal, learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri Jitendra Narain Rai, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State and Sri Kaushal Kishore Mani, learned counsel for the Land Management Committee.

2. The instant petition has been filed for the following relief:-

> ***"I. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 14.09.2026 passed by respondent No. 2 in Case No. 61651 of 2026, U/s 210 U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 along with the order dated 13.03.2026 passed by respondent No. 3 in the proceeding U/s 134 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006.***
>
> ***II. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus staying the effect and operation of the order dated 14.09.2026 passed by respondent No. 2 in Case No. 616 of 2026, U/s 210 U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 along with the order dated 13.03.2026 passed by respondent No. 3 in the proceeding U/s 134 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 and in an alternative direct the parties to maintain status quo over the suit property, during the pendency of present petition before this Hon'ble Court.***
>
> ***III. To issue any suitable writ order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case in the interest of justice."***

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that proceeding under Section 134 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 has been initiated by respondent no. 5 in respect to Khasra No. 1069. He submitted that in the aforementioned proceeding, an application has been filed on behalf of the petitioners that proceeding initiated by respondent no.5 is not maintainable, as such, the proceeding should be dropped. He submitted that writ petition arising out of consolidation proceeding is pending before this Court as Writ Petition No. 2832 of 2018 and one Civil Suit filed by respondent no. 5 is pending before the Civil Court, as such, the proceeding under Section 134 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 initiated by respondent no. 5 should be dropped.

4. On the other hand, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Land Management Committee submitted that Sub Divisional Officer has rightly passed the order dated 13.03.2026 that the petitioners' application dated 22.06.2022 shall be considered at the time of final disposal of the proceeding and the case has been fixed for evidence of the parties. They further submitted that petitioners should participate in the proceeding rather writ petition before this Court.

5. I have considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State, learned counsel for the Land Management Committee and perused the record.

6. There is no dispute about the fact that proceeding under Section 134 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 has been initiated by respondent no.5 in respect of Khasra No. 1069, which is pending before respondent no. 3. The application for maintainability of the proceeding filed on behalf of the petitioners has been ordered to be considered at the time of final hearing of the proceeding/case.

7. It is material to mention that respondent no. 5 is recorded owner of Plot No. 1069, as such, the proceeding at the instance of private respondent no. 5 cannot be dropped at the initial stage. It is also material to mention that petitioner's application dated 22.06.2022 has not been rejected rather the same has been ordered to be considered at the time of final hearing of

case.

8. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the instant petition is finally **disposed of** directing respondent no. 3-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Tehsil Deoband, Saharanpur to decide the aforementioned proceeding under Section 134 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 after affording proper opportunity of hearing to the parties, expeditiously, preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of production of a certified copy of this order.

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

October 27, 2026
Neetu

Digitally signed by :-
NEETU SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad