2026:AHC:226716

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 34740 of 2026

Saugata Roy

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Alok Ranjan Mishra, Sr. Advocate
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 67

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri G.S. Chaturvedi, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Alok Ranjan Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri B.P. Maurya, learned AGA for the State-respondents and perused the record.

2. The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of applicant with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No. 123 of 2026 under Sections 64(2)(f), 351(3) BNS, registered at Police Station Shivkuti, District Prayagraj during pendency of the trial.

3. It is contended by learned Senior counsel appearing for the applicant that FIR has been lodged after delay of 23 hours and from the conduct of the victim, it appears that she was in consensual relationship with the applicant. It is next submitted that victim is major and her medico-legal report does not support commission of rape on her. It is further submitted that some administrative dispute arose between the applicant and the victim regarding shortage of her attendance which has led to lodging of this false FIR. It is also submitted that applicant, who has no criminal history, has been languishing in jail since 11.8.2026. It is lastly submitted that in case applicant is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the applicant and submits that victim in her statements recorded under sections 180 and 183 BNSS has stated that applicant committed rape on her.

5. FIR has been lodged after delay of 23 hours and it is submitted that from the conduct of the victim, it appears that she was in consensual relationship with the applicant. The victim is major and her medico-legal report does not support commission of rape on her. It is submitted that some administrative dispute arose between the applicant and the victim regarding shortage of her attendance. Applicant, who has no criminal history, has been languishing in jail since 11.8.2026.

6. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the nature of offence, evidence, complicity of the accused and taking into account overcrowded jails and heavy pendency of criminal cases before the trial courts as well as considering the mandate of the judgement of the Apex Court in the case of ***Kapil Wadhawan vs Central Bureau of Investigation*** reported in ***2025 SCC OnLine SC 3038*** as well as guideline of this Court in the case of ***Maya Tiwari Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***2024 SCC Online All 6765*** regarding grant of bail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

7. Let the applicant **Saugata Roy** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicant shall cooperate in the trial/investigation sincerely without seeking any adjournment.

(iii) The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

(iv) The applicant shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed by him.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for

cancellation of bail.

9. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted. Verification of mobile number and Aadhaar card of the applicant as well as sureties should be verified by the court concerned.

10. It is further directed that the trial court shall send the release order to the concerned jail through Bail Order Management System (BOMS) to ensure early release of the applicant.

11. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the applicant through concerned Jail Superintendent via e-mail or e-prison portal within 24 hours in compliance of the order of the Apex Court in the case of ***Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail, In Re: Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021*** decided on 31.01.2023 reported in ***(2024) 10 SCC 685.***

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

November 2, 2026
Madhurima

Digitally signed by :-
MADHURIMA GARG
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad