2026:AHC:209585

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16447 of 2026

Udal Singh Gautam

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Badree Nath Dubey, Satyam Sharma
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Anubhav Chandra, C.S.C.

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Sri Anubhav Chandra, learned Advocate has filed memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents No. 2 to 4 today in Court, which is taken on record. Office is directed to proceed accordingly and print his name as learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Heard Sri Satyam Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Anubhav Chandra, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 to 4, learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondent No. 1 and perused the record on board.

3. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

> *"(i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding/directing the respondent authorities to pay the salary of the Petitioner for the period under suspension (from 06.03.2020 to 20.03.2025) with applicable annual increments.*
>
> *(ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondent authorities to grant the benefit of A.C.P.-1st as applicable to Petitioner after completion of 10 years of service.*
>
> *(iii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding/ directing the Respondent No.2 to decide the Representation dated 14.03.2026 submitted by the Petitioner to the Respondent No. 2."*

4. Sri Anubhav Chandra, learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 and learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondent No. 1 have contended that the grievance of the present petitioner raised in the instant writ petition can be more appropriately redressed by the Managing Director (respondent No. 2), and they have no objection, in case, a direction

is issued for expeditious disposal of a fresh representation to be moved by the petitioner within such stipulated period as may be directed by this Court.

5. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without making any observation on the merits of the case, with liberty to the petitioner to move a fresh representation before the Managing Director (respondent No. 2), to ventilate his grievance, as averred in the present writ petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the present writ petition, and, in turn, he shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **three months** from the date of production of such representation.

6. With the aforesaid observation, present writ petition is **disposed of.**

October 7, 2026
vinay

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINAY KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad