2026:AHC:209425

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 41681 of 2026

Nishant Singh @ Sonu Singh And 3 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Shiv Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 80

HON'BLE CHAWAN PRAKASH, J.

1. Heard Sri Shiv Kumar Singh, learned counsel for applicants and learned AGA for the State.

2. The present application under Section 528 of the B.N.S.S. has been filed by the applicants with a prayer to quash the charge sheet dated 11.11.2023, cognizance order dated 10.05.2024 and the entire proceeding of the Case No. 10665 of 2024 (State VS Nishant @Sonu), arising out of Case Crime No.0183 of 2023, U/S 498A, 323, 504, 506 I.P.C and 3/4 D.P Act, P.S Gajner, District Kanpur Dehat, pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st, Kanpur Dehat.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that applicants and opposite party no.2 have amicably settled their dispute out of the Court. Applicants approached this Court by filing this application with prayer to quash the proceedings on terms of compromise entered between the parties, this Court vide order dated 7.5.2026, passed in Application U/S 528 BNSS No.39044 of 2025, directed the parties to appear before the trial court for verification of the parties and compromise application. Trial court vide order dated 24.7.2026 verified the parties as well as compromise application. He further submitted that applicants and opposite party no.2 compromised the matter and opposite party No.2 does not want to proceed the matter against the applicants. He further submitted that applicants and opposite party no.2 have settled through compromise their dispute and as such opposite party no.2 does not wish to press the aforesaid case against the applicants. Opposite party no.2 is

ready to withdraw the prosecution of the applicants and in view of the compromise, no fruitful purpose would be served if the prosecution is allowed to go on.

4. Learned AGA does not dispute the correctness of the submission made by learned counsel for the applicants or the correctness of the documents relied upon by him. He submits that he has no objection, if the proceedings in the aforesaid case are quashed.

5. The Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Narindra Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat (2017) 9 SCC, 641, Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303 and State of M.P. Vs. Laxmi Narayanan (2019) 5 SCC 688**, wherein Hon'ble Apex Court has categorically held that compromise can be made between the parties even in respect of certain cognizable and non-compoundable offences. Reference may also be made to the decision given by this Court in **Shaifullah and others Vs. State of U.P. and another [2013 (83) ACC 278]**, in which, law expounded by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the aforesaid cases has been explained in detail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, as noted herein above, and also the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the considered opinion that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging the proceedings of the above mentioned case.

7. Accordingly, the proceedings of aforesaid case are hereby quashed in respect of present applicants.

8. This application under Section 528 of the B.N.S.S. is accordingly **allowed**.

(Chawan Prakash,J.)

October 7, 2026
Md Faisal

Digitally signed by :-
MOHD FAISAL
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad