2026:AHC:208545

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35015 of 2026

Mohd Sayeed

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Ali Hasan, Istiyaq Ali
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 68
(Sr. No.98 out of 186)

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised. Supplementary affidavit filed today is taken on record.

2. Heard Sri Ali Hasan, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Rajesh Kumar Dubey, learned counsel for the informant, Sri Shyam Dhar Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material placed on record.

3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No.287 of 2026, Under Section 333, 115(2), 352, 351(3), 324(4), 109(1) B.N.S. and Section 3/25 Arms Act, Police Station- Shahi, District Bareilly, during the pendency of trial.

4. As per prosecution story, the applicant and 11 other accused persons are stated to have barged into the house of the informant and caused injuries to 4 persons in all on 22.08.2026 at 4:00 pm.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The FIR was lodged after a delay of more than 7 hours, and there is no explanation for the said delay. Even otherwise, the applicant has not been assigned any role of having assaulted or caused injuries to any of the injured persons. The applicant was simply a member of the unlawful assembly. It is the co-accused persons who are stated to have fired at the injured persons, but the said fire did not hit any person whatsoever. The applicant has no criminal history and is in jail since 23.08.2026. He undertakes to cooperate

with the trial and not misuse the liberty of bail.

6. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.G.A. have vehemently opposed the bail application but the submissions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant could not be disputed.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, *prima-facie* the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

8. Let the applicant- **Mohd Sayeed**, be released on bail in the aforementioned case crime on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to verification of sureties, with the conditions that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

9. Breach of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail. The observations herein shall not affect the trial on merits.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

October 6, 2026
(Ravi Kant)

Digitally signed by :-
RAVI KANT
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad