2026:AHC:207671

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 10084 of 2026

Sushil Singh And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Narendra Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 64

HON'BLE VIVEK VARMA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and Sri N. K. Sharma, learned AGA for the State, and perused the material on record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed by the applicant under Section 482 BNSS apprehending his arrest in Case Crime No. 45 of 2025, under Sections 147, 120B, 420, 467, 468, 471, 452, 504 and 506 I.P.C., Police Station Ghiror, District- Mainpuri.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in the present case. The sale-deed was executed in favour of the father of the applicants in the year 1991 by the grandmother of the informant. No amount with regard to the alleged transaction has been credited to the bank account of the applicants. The applicants are not the beneficiary of any illicit transaction. Investigation has been completed. Charge-sheet has been filed. During the course of investigation, the applicants were granted anticipatory bail till the submission of the police report vide order dated 10.07.2025 passed in Criminal Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application U/S 482 BNSS No.5471 of 2026. The applicants had cooperated in the investigation. The applicants have been summoned by the concerned Court vide order dated 06.12.2025. No custodial interrogation is required. Co-accused Ajeet Singh, Surjeet, Prabhakar, Aditya Yadav, Deepak Kumar, Kuldeep Kumar and Atijesh have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 04.05.2026 passed in Criminal Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application U/S 483 BNSS No.4239 of 2026. The applicants claim parity. Further, the applicants have not violated any conditions nor have misused the liberty of anticipatory bail during the pendency of the investigation. The applicants have no criminal antecedents. The applicants have apprehension of their arrest in the above mentioned

case. In case, the applicants are granted anticipatory bail, they will not misuse the said liberty.

4. Learned A.G.A. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail but could not satisfactorily dispute the aforesaid submissions from the record.

5. Having regard to the submissions made, considering the nature of accusations and antecedents of the applicants and, in particular, the fact that the alleged sale deed was executed in favour of one Devendra Singh in the year 1991 by the grandmother of the informant; investigation has been completed; charge sheet has been filed; the applicant had cooperated in the investigation; the applicant has been summoned by the concerned court, no custodial interrogation is required; during investigation the applicant was granted anticipatory bail till submission of police report by this Court; no violation of conditions or misuse of liberty of anticipatory bail has been pointed out by learned AGA; co-accused Ajeet Singh, Surjeet, Prabhakar, Aditya Yadav, Deepak Kumar, Kuldeep Kumar and Atijesh have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court; without commenting on merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled for anticipatory bail.

6. In the event of arrest, the applicants- **Sushil Singh and Ajay Kumar**, involved in the aforesaid case crime, be released on anticipatory bail during pendency of trial, on furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicants shall make themselves available on each and every date fixed in the matter by the court concerned;

(ii) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade from disclosing such facts to the Court;

(iii) The applicants shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court and if they have passport, the same shall be deposited by them before the concerned court.

7. Further, in default of any of the conditions, the court concerned is at liberty to pass appropriate orders for enforcing and compelling the same.

8. The application stands disposed of.

October 5, 2026

Abhishek

(Vivek Varma, J.)

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad