2026:AHC:209546

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 34686 of 2026

Raghvendra

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	R.K. Singh Rajput
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri R.K.Singh Rajput, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant/ accused- **Raghvendra** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 0075 of 2021, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 IPC, P.S.- Fatehgarh Kotwali, District- Farrukhabad during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in the FIR dated 24.02.2021 lodged by Vivek Verma, informant with the allegation that six accused persons including the accused/applicant has accepted nearly Rs. 25 Lakhs for providing job of Lecturer in a college. It is the contention of learned counsel that the FIR is lodged through an application under Section 156(3) CrPC, the incident is of the year 2013-15 for which the FIR is lodged in the year 2021. The applicant at the time of incident is 14 years of age. During investigation, the accused/applicant has not been arrested as he was on anticipatory bail in order dated 19.05.2021 passed by Crl. Misc. Anticipatory Bail No. 8592 of 2021 (Ghurai Lal Verma and 2 Other Vs. State of U.P. and Another). The charge sheet is submitted**.** The accused/applicant has criminal history of one case, in which he is on bail. The accused applicant has surrendered himself on 17.07.2026 and since then he is in jail. He seeks to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State and learned counsel for the informant have

opposed the prayer for bail.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering that the accused/applicant has not been arrested during investigation, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 7, 2026
Abhishek Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK RANJAN SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad