HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 15600 of 2026

Udayveer Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court Uttar Pradesh, Firozabad And Another

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Pankaj Kumar Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Abhinava Krishna Srivastava, C.S.C.

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is permitted to implead the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Firozabad, in the array of parties of the instant writ petition as respondent no. 3, during the course of the day.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; Sri Sanjeev Dubey, holding brief of Sri Abhinava Krishna, learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 2 and learned standing counsel representing the State respondents no. 1 and 3.

3. The instant writ petition has been filed seeking execution of the award dated 11.09.2013 published on 01.05.2014 passed in Adjudication Case No. 61 of 2012. It is submitted that the award dated 11.09.2013 has attained finality up to the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 04.11.2025. Upon filing an appropriate application on behalf of the petitioner for execution of the award dated 11.09.2013, the Assistant Labour Commissioner, respondent no. 3, has passed an order directing respondent no. 2 to comply with the award dated 11.09.2013, failing which action would be taken against him under the provisions of the Act.

4. It is submitted that, despite the repeated representations, no action has been taken at the behest of respondent no. 2 for implementation of the aforesaid order. Presently, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 06.04.2026 (Annexure No. 1), narrating the decision dated 04.11.2025 rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, and has requested for implementation of the award. Upon receipt of the said representation, respondent no. 3 sent a notice dated 22.08.2014 (page no. 28 of the writ

petition) to the Municipal Commissioner. However, till date, no further action has been taken by the competent authority, and the representation dated 06.04.2026 is still pending consideration.

5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court is of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant writ petition pending. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court deems fit to decide the instant writ petition finally with a direction that the respondent no. 3 shall make an endeavour to consider and decide the representation dated 06.04.2026, and take appropriate action in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

6. With the aforesaid directions and observations, present writ petition stands **disposed of.**

October 6, 2026
VR

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VIBHA RATAN
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad