HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 38573 of 2026

Brijlal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Abhishek Rai, Vikash Pal
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Azad Rai, C.S.C.

Court No. - 37

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. R.C. Srivastava, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State-respondents and Mr. Azad Rai, learned counsel for Land Management Committee.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed for the following relief:-

> **"1. Issue a writ, order, or direction in the nature of mandamus, commanding the respondent authorities to consider and decide the application dated 16.08.2026 and other applications submitted by the petitioner.**
>
> **2. Issue a writ, order, or direction in the nature of mandamus, commanding the respondent authorities to correct the map of Arazi No 990 and until disposal of the proceeding of correction of map, the petitioner's possession shall not be disturbed pursuant to the lease deed granted in favour of the petitioner by the revenue authorities.**
>
> **3. Issue a writ, order, or direction in the nature of mandamus, commanding the respondent authorities to consider and initiate proceedings for cancellation of the lease deed granted in favour of the private respondents in the year 1999, in respect of which no possession has been taken by the respondents for the last 26 years."**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the allotment made in favour of the petitioner, authorities be restrained from interfering with the possession of the petitioner in respect to the plot in question. He submitted that petitioner has filed application/ representation dated 16.08.2026 before the authorities but authorities are not considering the petitioner's application/ representation in accordance with law.

4. I have considered the arguments advanced by the learned Counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

5. The prayer made in the instant petition to restrain the private respondents from interfering with the possession of the petitioner cannot be entertained in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

6. It is settled principle of law that private dispute cannot be settled in writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India by way of writ of mandamus or by way of representation before the authorities rather petitioner should initiate proper proceeding in accordance with law.

7. No interference is required.

8. The writ petition is dismissed accordingly.

October 27, 2026
PS*

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
PRITI SHARMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad