HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 38466 of 2026

Rajaram

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 9 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Devbratt Yadav, Ram Pratap Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C., Rameshwar Prasad Shukla

Court No. - 37

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, learned standing counsel for the state respondents and Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Shukla, learned counsel for the Land Management Committee.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed for the following relief:

> **"(i) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the Commissioner Azamgarh Division Azamgarh to decide the stay application filed along with the Appeal being Appeal No.2553 of 2026 (Rajaram v. Up-Ziladhikari) Computer Case No.C202615000002553 under section 24(4) of U.P. Revenue Code 2006, and till the disposal of Stay Application status quo in regard to the Plot being Plot No.410 Area 0.063 Hectare situated in Village Hafizpur Pargana Nizamabad and Tehsil Sadar District Azamgarh may be directed to be maintained between the parties, in the better interest of justice."**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that proceeding under Section 24 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 initiated at the instance of the petitioner has been decided in illegal and arbitrary manner, accordingly, appeal under Section 24 (4) of U.P. Revenue

Code, 2006 has been filed by the petitioner, which is pending before respondent no.3 along with the prayer for interim relief. He submitted that appropriate direction be issued for expeditious disposal of the appeal and till disposal of the appeal, parties should maintain status quo.

4. I have considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and peruse the record.

5. There is no dispute about the fact that appeal under Section 24 (4) of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 filed on behalf of petitioner is pending before respondent no.3 along with prayer for interim relief.

6. Considering the circumstances of the case, interest of justice requires that aforesaid pending appeal should be decided within time bound period.

7. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the **writ petition is finally disposed of** directing respondent no.3-Commissioner to decide the aforesaid appeal expeditiously, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of production of certified copy of this order, after affording opportunity of hearing to the parties.

November 2, 2026
PS*

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
PRITI SHARMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad