2026:AHC:208960

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 33584 of 2026

Bhim Prajapati

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Raja Sahani, Richesh Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 64

HON'BLE VIVEK VARMA, J.

1. Heard counsel for the applicant and Sri V.P. Tripathi, learned AGA for the State-opposite party. Perused the material available on record.

2. The present bail application has been filed with the prayer to enlarge the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 132 of 2026, under Sections 85, 108 B.N.S., Police Station- Tarkulwa, District- Deoria, during the pendency of the trial.

3. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is the husband of the deceased and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The incident is alleged to have occurred on 15.05.2026, whereas the first information report was lodged on 17.05.2026 under Sections 85, 3(5), 103(1) B.N.S. The delay in lodging the first information report is fatal to the prosecution case. During investigation, sections 3(5) and 103(1) B.N.S. were deleted and section 108 B.N.S. was added. As per the postmortem report, cause of death is asphyxia due to antemortem hanging. Further, as per the allegations in the first information report, the alleged video recording of the son of the deceased and the material collected during the course of investigation, the essential ingredients to constitute the offence under Section 108 B.N.S. are not made out. Mere allegations of demand for dowry, matrimonial discord, assault or harassment, in the absence of any positive act of instigation or intentional aid on the part of the applicant would not, by themselves, constitute the offence of abetment to suicide. In support of his submission, counsel for the applicant has placed reliance on the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of ***Nipun Aneja and others vs. State of Uttar Pradesh (Criminal Appeal No.654 of 2017) decided on 03.10.2024*** and ***Abhinav Mohan Delkar vs. The State of Maharashtra and others (Criminal Appeal Nos.2177-2185 of 2024*)** ***decided on 18.08.2025***. Criminal

history of the applicant has been explained in para no.3 of the supplementary affidavit. The applicant is in jail since 18.5.2026 and in case, he is enlarged on bail, he will not misuse the said liberty.

4. Learned AGA for the State has opposed the prayer for bail but could not satisfactorily dispute the aforesaid submissions from the record.

5. Having heard counsel for the parties and having perused the record this Court prima facie finds that the first information report has been lodged belatedly. As per the allegations in the first information report, the alleged video recording of the son of the deceased and the material collected during the course of investigation, the essential ingredients to constitute the offence under Section 108 B.N.S. are not made out. There is no direct act attributable to the applicant that could be construed as instigation, conspiracy or intentional aid to the commission of suicide. Moreover, the applicant has remained confined for more than four months and after submission of the charge sheet there is no hope of early conclusion of trial, more so when no reasonable apprehension has been brought to the fore by the State that the applicant, if enlarged on bail, would either tamper with the evidence or delay the trial or intimidate the witness, without commenting on merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

6. Let the applicant **Bhim Prajapati**, involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to the conditions that he:

(i) shall appear on the date fixed by the trial court;

(ii) shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;

(iii) shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move bail cancellation application before this Court.

October 6, 2026

Manish Kr

(Vivek Varma,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
MANISH KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad