2026:AHC:228506

# HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**WRIT - C No. - 38176 of 2026**

Smt Ritu

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 6 Others

.....Respondent(s)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Counsel for Petitioner(s) | : | Raghvendra Pratap Rajan, Sandeep Kumar Shrivastava |
| Counsel for Respondent(s) | : | C.S.C., Kaushalendra Nath Singh, Yash Padia |

**Court No. - 37**

**HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to implead the Additional Commissioner as respondent No. 8 in the writ petition during course of the day.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the State-respondents and Mr. Yash Padia, learned counsel for the respondent No. 4.

3. The instant writ petition has been filed for the following relief:-

> **"(i). Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the respondent no. 2, District Magistrate, Gautam Buddh Nagar, and the other concerned respondent authorities to consider and decide the petitioner's representation dated 27.04.2026 and 12.07.2026 (Annexed as Annexure No. 7 to this writ petition) by a reasoned and speaking order within a stipulated time as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit to provide."**

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that revision filed against the order passed in the proceeding under Section 166/ 167 of U.P.Z.A.&L.R. Act is pending before respondent no.8-Additonal Commissioner. He submitted that petitioner has filed representation before the authorities for compliance of the interim order passed in the pending revision. He submitted that proper direction be issued for consideration of the petitioner's representation within time bound period.

5. I have considered the argument advanced by learned counsel for petitioner and perused the record.

6. There is no dispute about the fact that revision no.621 of 2026 (Computerized Case No.C202611000000621) under Section 333 of U.P. Z.A.& L.R. Act filed on behalf of petitioner is pending before respondent no.8-Additional Commissioner

7. Since the revision filed by petitioner is pending before respondent No. 8-Additional Commissioner, as such petitioner should pursue the remedy in the pending revision rather writ petition before this Court or representation before the authorities.

8. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, **the writ petition is finally disposed of** directing the respondent No. 8-Additional Commissioner Administration, 3rd Meerut Division, Meerut to decide the aforementioned revision expeditiously preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of production of certified copy of this order, after affording proper opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned.

**November 2, 2026**
PS*

**(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)**

Digitally signed by :-
PRITI SHARMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad