2026:AHC:211427

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 15094 of 2026

Smt Pankali

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Lalji Yadav, Yashpal Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Abhishek Srivastava, Manoj Kumar Srivastava, C.S.C.

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

> *"I. Issue writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus and direct the respondents Executive Engineer, Electricity Distribution Division- Meja and Account Officer (Pension), Chief Engineer, Shakti Bhawan, Lucknow to consider, grant and pay Family Pension, Arrear of Family Pension w.e.f August 2022, Gratuity, Provident Fund, Insurance Fund, Leave Encasement and other terminal benefits applicable to the rank and post of Junior Engineer of her deceased husband, with 15% interest w.e.f August 2022, within a specified time frame.*
>
> *II. Issue writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus and direct the respondents Executive Engineer, Electricity Distribution Division- Meja and Account Officer (Pension), Chief Engineer, Shakti Bhawan, Lucknow to otherwise, consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 03.09.2026, by means of a reasoned and speaking order, whereby, considering, granting and making payment of Family Pension, Arrear of Family Pension w.e.f August 2022, Gratuity, Provident Fund, Insurance Fund, Leave Encasement and other terminal benefits applicable to the rank and post of Junior Engineer of her deceased husband, with 15% interest w.e.f August 2022, within a specified time frame.*
>
> *III. Issue any other writ, order favour of the petitioner, which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case."*

3. Abhishek Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent no.2 and Sri

Manoj Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent nos.3 and 4 have contended that the grievance of the present petitioner, as raised in the instant writ petition, can be more appropriately redressed by the Account Officer (Pension) (respondent no.2) and that they have no objection if a direction is issued for expeditious disposal of the fresh representation, to be moved by the present petitioner, within such stipulated period, as may be directed by this Court.

4. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Accordingly, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with liberty to the present petitioner to move a fresh representation before the Account Officer (Pension) (respondent no.2) ventilating her grievances, as raised in this petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the instant writ petition, who, in turn, shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **three months** from the date of production of the same, if there is no other legal impediment.

5. With the aforesaid directions and observations, present writ petition stands **disposed of.**

October 8, 2026
vkg

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINOD KUMAR GOSWAMI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad