HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 14900 of 2026

Prashant Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State Of U.P. And 6 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Navneet Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. The petitioner has applied for Constable Civil Police, Direct Recruitment-2025, wherein his chest measurement was found to be less than the prescribed standard. On appeal, his chest was again measured, but the authorities found the measurement to be the same and declared him physically unfit on the ground of deficient chest measurement. Thus, there was no difference between the measurements taken at the two stages. The petitioner, in this case challenged the measurement of his chest by relying upon fitness certificate issued by Chief Medical Superintendent, District Hospital, Ghaziabad, where he had got himself measured privately and found his chest measurement to be up to the prescribed standard.

2. Contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner got himself examined by Chief Medical Superintendent, District Hospital, Ghaziabad wherein his chest measurement has been found up to the mark, therefore, he may also be permitted to be re-examined by an independent board constituted by this Court.

3. Learned Standing Counsel has submitted that there is no averment that before examining his chest before the hospital or doctor, the identity of the person whose chest was measured was examined by the hospital or doctor and further submitted that petitioner has not disclosed before the hospital or doctor concerned that chest is to be measured for the purpose of challenging the chest measured in the present examination. In support of his submission, learned counsel for the respondent has relied upon the

judgment passed by the **Division Bench of this Court in Special Appeal Defective No.70 of 2016 (State of U.P. and 2 others vs. Rahul),** decided on 03.02.2016.

4. In view of the the judgment passed in State of U.P. and 2 others vs. Rahul (Supra), no such direction can be given for re-measurement of chest merely on the basis that upon an examination by a medical officer/hospital, chest of the petitioner was found to be above the prescribed standard, without confirming the identity of the person whose chest was measured and without disclosing the fact that the same exercise is being done to challenge the chest measured in the present examination.

5. In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

6. The writ petition lacks merit and is accordingly, dismissed.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

October 27, 2026
Nitika Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
NITIKA SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad