2026:AHC:211813

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 9867 of 2026

Yasin

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Chandan Srivastava, Vikas Srivastava
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Jitendra Bahadur Singh

Court No. - 71

HON'BLE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

1. Supplementary affidavit filed today is taken on record.

2. Heard Sri Vikas Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Jitendra Bahadur Singh, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.G.A for the State and perused the record.

3. The present application has been filed on behalf of the applicants in Case Crime No. 143 of 2026, under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 352, 125, 109(1) of B.N.S. and Section 7 of Criminal Law Amendment Act, Police Station - Kakore, District Bulandshahar, with a prayer to grant him anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence in spite of that the police are trying to arrest the applicant. The F.I.R. of the present case was lodged against 13 named accused. The injured who are two in number, have not sustained injuries which are commensurate to the number of accused. There are cross version and from the side of the

applicant, one of the co-accused Hanif, has lodged an F.I.R. in which two injured are also accused. The identically situated co-accused Waseem has been granted anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 05.08.2026 passed in Crl. Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application U/S 482 B.N.S.S. No. 7890 of 2026. The other co-accused Farman, Haseen Mukeem and Nizam, have been granted regular bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 29.05.2026 passed in Crl. Misc. Bail Application No. 18678 of 2026. The criminal antecedent of nine cases of applicant has been explained in the affidavit and the supplementary affidavit. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that the applicant undertakes to cooperate during investigation and trial and shall appear as and when required by the Investigating Agency or the Court concerned. It has also been stated that, in case the applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail, shall cooperate with the investigation, and shall abide by all the conditions that may be imposed by the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. as well as learned counsel for the informant have opposed the application for anticipatory bail, however, they do not dispute the factual aspect.

6. I have heard the rival submissions of both sides and perused the record.

7. In **Sushila Aggarwal and others vs. State (NCT of Delhi) and another, (2020) 5 SCC 1**, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that while considering an application for grant of anticipatory bail, the court has to consider the nature of the offence, the role of the person, the likelihood of his influencing the course of investigation, or tampering with evidence including intimidating witnesses, likelihood of fleeing justice, such as leaving the country, etc. It has further been held that Courts ought to be generally guided by considerations such as the nature and gravity of the offences, the role attributed to the

applicant, and the facts of the case, while considering whether to grant anticipatory bail, or refuse it. Whether to grant or not is a matter of discretion.

8. In **Siddharth Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & Another, (2022) 1 Supreme Court Cases 676**, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that personal liberty is an important aspect of our constitutional mandate. The occasion to arrest an accused during investigation arises when custodial investigation becomes necessary or it is a heinous crime or where there is a possibility of influencing the witnesses or accused may abscond. Merely because an arrest can be made because it is lawful does not mandate that arrest must be made. A distinction must be made between the existence of the power to arrest and the justification for exercise of it. If arrest is made routine, it can cause incalculable harm to the reputation and self-esteem of a person. If the Investigating Officer has no reason to believe that the accused will abscond or disobey summons and has, in fact, throughout cooperated with the investigation, then there is no compulsion on the officer to arrest the accused. 11. Considering the settled principle of law regarding anticipatory bail, submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, nature of accusation, role of applicant and all attending facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on merits, a case for anticipatory bail is made out.

9. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is allowed with following directions:-

(i) In the event of arrest of the applicant-**Yasin** involved in aforesaid case shall be released on anticipatory bail till the conclusion of trial, on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station concerned or the court concerned.

(ii) The applicant shall co-operate with the Investigating Officer during investigation and shall report to the Investigating Officer as and when required for the purpose of conducting investigation;

(iii) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her/him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

10. In default or misuse of any of the conditions, the Public Prosecutor/ Investigating Officer/ first informant-complainant is at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicant, before the concerned court.

October 8, 2026
A.P. Pandey

(Vivek Kumar Singh,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
ANAND PRAKASH PANDEY
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad