HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 39053 of 2026

M/S K.N.D Engineers And Consultants (India) Pvt. Limited And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Anand Kumar Pandey
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C., Sushil Kumar Pandey

Court No. - 29

HON'BLE SIDDHARTHA VARMA, J.
HON'BLE VIVEK SARAN, J.

1. Learned counsel for the parties agree that the present writ petition may be disposed of in terms of the order dated 25.02.2026 passed in Writ-C No. 7832 of 2026, which is reproduced below:-

"**Order on Order Sheet:**

Counsel for the petitioners is permitted to implead Superintendent Engineer, Electricity Distribution Division, Ballia as respondent no. 6 in the array of parties during the Course of the day.

Order on Writ Petition :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents and Sri Chetan Chatterjee, learned counsel for the respondent no.2 to 5.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that the respondent-authority has failed to release the pending amounts in respect of the work duly completed by the petitioners pursuant to Tender No. 05/VIKAMA/2016-2017. In this regard, the petitioners have submitted several representations to the respondent-authority; however, no heed has been paid to the said representations.

3. Learned Standing Counsel submits that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending and appropriate direction may be issued to the respondent no.6 to consider the grievance of the petitioners.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and without adverting to the

merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed off permitting the petitioners to file a fresh representation along with certified copy of this order raising all their grievances before the newly impleaded respondent no.6-Superintendent Engineer, Electricity Distribution Division, Ballia within a period of one month from today. In case, any such representation is filed by the petitioners, the respondent no.6 shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of one month thereafter from the date he receives the representation of the petitioners."

2. Sri Sushil Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the above.

3. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the above order.

(Vivek Saran,J.) (Siddhartha Varma,J.)

October 23, 2026
GS

Digitally signed by :-
GAUTAM SONI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad