HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 32814 of 2026

Imrat

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Momraj, Vivek Sharma
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Vivek Kumar Mishra

Court No. - 67

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. List revised.

2. Heard Sri Vivek Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Vivek Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the first informant, Sri O.P. Singh, learned AGA for the State-respondents and perused the record.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of applicant with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No. 397 of 2026 under Sections 137(2), 70(2) BNS, 5(G)/6 POCSO Act registered at Police Station Hasanpur, District Amroha during pendency of the trial.

4. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and as per the FIR, applicant is said to have enticed away the daughter of the first informant who is already married and the same has been lodged after she returned back home. It is next submitted that from the conduct of the victim, it appears that she was in consensual relationship with co-accused Bobby. It is further submitted that age of the victim has been found to be 16-17 years as per her ossification test and around 16 years 4 months as per educational record of class Vth. It is next submitted that father of the victim has stated before the Investigating Officer that victim did not attend any school, therefore, genuineness of her educational record is doubtful. It is also submitted that applicant, who has no criminal history, has been languishing in jail since 12.7.2026. It is lastly submitted that in case applicant is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty

of bail and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

5. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. as well as learned counsel for the first informant opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the applicant.

6. As per the FIR, applicant and co-accused Bobby are said to have enticed away the daughter of the first informant who is already married and the FIR has been lodged after she returned back home. It is submitted that from the conduct of the victim, it appears that she was in consensual relationship with co-accused Bobby. The victim has been found to be aged about 16-17 years as per her ossification test and around 16 years 4 months as per educational record of class Vth, however, father of the victim is said to have stated before the Investigating Officer that victim did not attend any school, therefore, genuineness of her educational record is doubtful. Medico-legal report of the victim does not support commission of rape on her. Applicant, who has no criminal history, has been languishing in jail since 12.7.2026.

7. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the nature of offence, evidence, complicity of the accused and taking into account overcrowded jails and heavy pendency of criminal cases before the trial courts as well as considering the mandate of the judgement of the Apex Court in the case of ***Kapil Wadhawan vs Central Bureau of Investigation*** reported in ***2025 SCC OnLine SC 3038*** as well as guideline of this Court in the case of ***Maya Tiwari Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***2024 SCC Online All 6765*** regarding grant of bail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

8. Let the applicant **Imrat** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicant shall cooperate in the trial/investigation sincerely without seeking any adjournment.

(iii) The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

(iv) The applicant shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed by him.

9. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

10. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted. Verification of mobile number and Aadhaar card of the applicant as well as sureties should be verified by the court concerned.

11. It is further directed that the trial court shall send the release order to the concerned jail through Bail Order Management System (BOMS) to ensure early release of the applicant.

12. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the applicant through concerned Jail Superintendent via e-mail or e-prison portal within 24 hours in compliance of the order of the Apex Court in the case of ***Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail, In Re: Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021*** decided on 31.01.2023 reported in ***(2024) 10 SCC 685.***

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

October 24, 2026
Madhurima

Digitally signed by :-
MADHURIMA GARG
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad