2026:AHC:208504

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 31988 of 2026

Narsingh Verma

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Mamta Pandey, R.S. Pandey, Rajshree Malviya
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 68

(Sr. No.93 out of 186)

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of State is taken on record.

2. Heard Smt. Rajshree Malviya, learned counsel for the applicant, Ms. Ifrah Islam, learned State Law Officer for the State and perused the material placed on record.

3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No.132 of 2026, Under Section 109(1) 115(2) 351(3) and 352 B.N.S. 2023, P.S.-Ghughali, District- Maharajganj, during the pendency of trial.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is true that the injured person has sustained 13 injuries, and one of the injuries was referred for a C.T. scan. The said report has been obtained, and it does not reveal any fracture in the occipital region of the injured person, although mild patchy hypodensities were noted in the periventricular area, but the said injuries cannot be termed grievous. Even otherwise, the FIR was lodged after a delay of about 18 hours, and there is no explanation for the said delay either. The applicant has no criminal history and is in jail since 10.07.2026. He undertakes to cooperate with the trial and not misuse the liberty of bail.

5. Learned State Law Officer has vehemently opposed the bail application but the submissions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant could not

be disputed.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, taking into consideration the delay in the institution of the FIR and the C.T. scan report of the injured, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, *prima-facie* the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

7. Let the applicant- **Narsingh Verma**, be released on bail in the aforementioned case crime on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to verification of sureties, with the conditions that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

8. Breach of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail. The observations herein shall not affect the trial on merits.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

October 6, 2026
(Ravi Kant)

Digitally signed by :-
RAVI KANT
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad