2026:AHC:209971

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 32700 of 2026

Arvind Rajput

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Azad Khan, Mohammad Imran Khan, Sunil Verma

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 67

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Azad Khan, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri B.P. Singh, learned A.G.A. for the State. Second supplementary affidavit filed today is taken on record.

2. The instant bail application has been filed with a prayer to release the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 27 of 2026, under Sections 64(1), 352, 351(3) BNS, Police Station Jalalpur, District Hamirpur, during the pendency of the trial.

3. It is contended by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and there is no allegation of rape in the FIR and there is allegation of sexual harassment and outraging the modesty of the victim and there are material contradictions in the statement of the victim recorded under Sections 180 and 183 BNSS, however, on perusal of the statement of the victim recorded under Sections 180 and 183 BNSS, the same is highly unbelievable. It is further submitted that the victim is major lady aged about 20 years and the medico-legal report of the victim does not support the commission of rape on her. It is also submitted that the applicant has been languishing in jail since 1.4.2026 and he is having criminal history of 12 cases which has been duly explained in the memo of application and second supplementary affidavit. It is lastly submitted that in case the applicant is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

4. Per contra, learned A.G.A. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid facts, however, it is submitted that the victim in her statement recorded under Sections 180 and 183 BNSS has stated that the applicant committed rape on her.

5. There is no allegation of rape in the FIR and there is allegation of sexual harassment and outraging the modesty of the victim, however, the victim in the statement recorded under Sections 180 and 183 BNSS has stated that the applicant committed rape on her and it is submitted that the victim in her statement has stated that while committing the rape by the applicant, some of the family members reached and witnessed the alleged incident which is improbable. The victim is major lady aged about 20 years and the medico-legal report of the victim does not support the commission of rape on her. The applicant has been languishing in jail since 1.4.2026 and he is having criminal history of 12 cases which has been duly explained in the memo of application and second supplementary affidavit.

6. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the nature of offence, evidence, complicity of the accused and taking into account overcrowded jails and heavy pendency of criminal cases before the trial courts as well as considering the mandate of the judgement of the Apex Court in the case of ***Kapil Wadhawan vs Central Bureau of Investigation*** reported in 2025 SCC OnLine SC 3038 as well as guideline of this Court in the case of ***Maya Tiwari Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in 2024 SCC Online All 6765 regarding grant of bail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

7. Accordingly, the present application is **ALLOWED**.

8. Let the applicant- **Arvind Rajput** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement,

threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicant shall cooperate in the trial/investigation sincerely without seeking any adjournment.

(iii) The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

(iv) The applicant shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed by him.

9. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

10. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted. Verification of mobile number and Aadhaar card of the applicant as well as sureties should be verified by the court concerned.

11. It is further directed that the trial court shall send the release order to the concerned jail through Bail Order Management System (BOMS) to ensure early release of the applicant.

12. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the applicant through **concerned Jail Superintendent** via e-mail or e-prison portal within 24 hours in compliance of the order of the Apex Court in the case of **Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail, In Re: Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021 decided on 31.01.2023** reported in (2024) 10 SCC 685.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

October 7, 2026
Abhishek

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK AGRAHARI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad