HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 36542 of 2026

Ranno And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Lavesh Sharma
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE DEEPAK VERMA, J.

1. Heard Sri Lavesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present 528 BNSS application has been filed to quash the impugned order dated 03.08.26 and entire proceedings in S.S.T. No. 98/03, Smt. Hardevi Vs. Manohar & others, u/s 392, 354 IPC, Police Station Kagarol, District Agra, pending in the court of Special Judge (D.A.A.) / Additional Sessions Judge, Court No.11, Agra on basis of compromise.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that applicants and opposite party no.2 have amicably settled their dispute out of the Court. Applicants approached this Hon'ble Court by filing this 528 BNSS application with prayer to quash the proceedings on terms of compromise entered between the parties, the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 02.09.2026 sent the matter to trial court for verification of the parties and compromise application. Trial court vide order dated 22.09.2026 identified and verified the parties as well as compromise application, the same has been transmitted to this Hon'ble Court through letter dated 24.09.2026 and the same is on record. He further submitted that applicants and opposite party no.2 compromised the matter and opposite party No.2 does not want to proceed the matter against the applicants. He further submitted that applicants and opposite party no.2 have settled through compromise their private and civil dispute and as

such opposite party no.2 does not wish to press the aforesaid case against the applicants. Opposite party no.2 is ready to withdraw the prosecution of the applicants and in view of the compromise, no fruitful purpose would be served if the prosecution is allowed to go on.

4. Learned AGA submitted that both the parties have settled their dispute out of the Court, hence, no reason to proceed further.

5. The Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Narindra Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab,** (2014) 6 SCC 466, **Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat** (2017) 9 SCC, 641, **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab** (2012) 10 SCC 303 and **State of M.P. Vs. Laxmi Narayanan** (2019) 5 SCC 688, wherein Hon'ble Apex Court has categorically held that compromise can be made between the parties even in respect of certain cognizable and non-compoundable offences. Reference may also be made to the decision given by this Court in **Shaifullah and others Vs. State of U.P. and another** [2013 (83) ACC 278], in which, law expounded by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the aforesaid cases has been explained in detail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, as noted herein above, and also the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the considered opinion that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging the proceedings of the above mentioned case.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 03.08.26 and entire proceedings in S.S.T. No. 98/03, Smt. Hardevi Vs. Manohar & others, u/s 392, 354 IPC, Police Station Kagarol, District Agra, pending in the court of Special Judge (D.A.A.) / Additional Sessions Judge, Court No.11, Agra, is hereby **quashed**.

8. This application under Section 528 BNSS is accordingly **allowed**.

(Deepak Verma,J.)

October 29, 2026
Nitin Verma

Digitally signed by :-
NITIN KUMAR VERMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad