2026:AHC:210196

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 30218 of 2026

Shivam Kashyap

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Jitendra Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 64

HON'BLE VIVEK VARMA, J.

1. Learned A.G.A. on the basis of instructions submits that notice of the present application has been served upon the informant- opposite party no. 2 and the Child Welfare Committee, Shahjahanpur- opposite party no. 4 on 21.08.2026 respectively. The receiving endorsements produced by the learned A.G.A. are taken on record. However, no one appears on behalf of the said opposite parties nor any counter affidavit has been filed on their behalf.

2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and Sri V.P. Tripathi, learned A.G.A. for the State-opposite party.

3. The present bail application has been filed with the prayer to enlarge the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 347 of 2026, under Sections 137(2), 64(1) B.N.S. and 3/4 POCSO Act, Police Station Kanth, District Shahjahanpur during the pendency of the trial.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. The victim is a major. The age of the victim was incorrectly got registered in the school records by the victim's parents to give her advantage in life. There is no lawful basis for the age related entry of the victim in the school records disclosing her age and the entries made are unbelievable. Further, the victim in her statements recorded under Sections 180 and 183 B.N.S.S. stated that she voluntarily left her house and accompanied the applicant to Bareilly and Noida, solemnized marriage with him and established relations. There is no allegation of any forceful assault against the applicant. The applicant is in jail since

25.07.2026 and if he is released on bail, he will not misuse the said liberty.

5. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail but could not satisfactorily dispute the aforesaid submissions from the record.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusal of the record, this Court prima facie finds that the victim in her statements recorded under Sections 180 and 183 B.N.S.S. stated that she voluntarily left her house and accompanied the applicant to Bareilly and Noida, solemnized marriage with him and established relations. There is no allegation of any forceful assault against the applicant. The applicant has no criminal antecedents. Moreover, the applicant has remained confined for more than two months and after submission of the charge-sheet there is no hope of early conclusion of trial, and no reasonable apprehension has been brought to the fore by the State that the applicant, if enlarged on bail, would either tamper with the evidence or delay the trial or intimidate the witness, therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

7. Let the applicant- Shivam Kashyap, involved in the aforesaid case, be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Court concerned subject to the conditions that he:

(i) shall appear on the date fixed by the trial court;

(ii) shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence; and,

(iii) shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move bail cancellation application before this Court.

October 7, 2026
SKT/-

(Vivek Varma,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVA KANT TIWARI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad