2026:AHC:210625

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 13397 of 2026

Kanchan Devi And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 15 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Aditya Mishra, Jai Raj
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Kushmondeya Shahi

Court No. - 52

HON'BLE MRS. MANJU RANI CHAUHAN, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Standing Counsel for the State as well as Mr. K. Shahi, learned counsel for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed with the following prayers:

"a) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of result dated Certiorari calling the records of the case and quashing the impugned 28.07.2026 of the Selection on the post o Graduate Teacher subject Hindi declared by the Uttar Pradesh Education Service Selection Commission, Prayagraj, only to an extent of non-selection of petitioners and the impugned master set of final answer key dated 28.07.2026 of the written examination for the selection on the post of Trained Graduate Teacher subject Hindi only to an extent of question No. 102 having the incorrect answer.

b) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to award 4 marks to the petitioners on account of having taken the correct answer in respect of question No.102 of the impugned master set of the final answer key dated 28.07.2026 issued by the Selection Board.

c) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to make the selection of petitioners on the post of Trained Graduate Teacher subject Hindi on the /corresponding question which is question No.102 of the impugned master set of final answer key dated 28.07.2026 of attempted question No.30 of question booklet correctly, in pursuance of the Advertisement No.01/2022 Trained Graduate Teacher, issued by the Secretary, Uttar Pradesh Education Service Selection Commission, Prayagraj.

d) Issue a writ, order or direction, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case.

e) Award the cost of the petition to the petitioners."

3. The issue in question has already been settled by the Lucknow Bench of this Court by its judgment dated 28.09.2026 passed in **Writ-A No.8055 of 2026 (Seema Gupta And 20 Others vs. State of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Secondary Education Deptt. Lko. And 2 Others)**.

4. Considering the fact that a decision has already been taken by the respondent authorities that option no. 'C' and option no.'D' both are correct in respect of question no.102, the natural consequence would be that all the candidates who had answered the question no.102 by giving answer 'C' or answer 'D' would be entitled to the marks. The respondents may take a decision and proceed with the declaration of result accordingly.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is **disposed of**, accordingly.

(Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan,J.)

October 6, 2026
K.N.

Digitally signed by :-
KALP NATH SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad