2026:AHC:209484

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35630 of 2026

Rahil

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Mohit Kumar Singh, Sujata Choudhary
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 68

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised.

2. Heard Ms. Sujata Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Chandraveer Singh, learned counsel for the informant as well as Sri Anit Kumar Shukla, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material placed on record.

3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No.722 of 2025, under Sections 140(4), 351(3) BNS and Section 3/4(2) POCSO Act, Police Station Sardhana, District Meerut, during the pendency of trial.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The FIR is delayed by about two days and there is no explanation of the said delay caused. The applicant has nothing to do with the said offence, rather he had an altercation with the informant and he sustained three injuries, which stand corroborated by his medical report conducted at the time of his arrest on 26.10.2025. Even there is no medical corroboration of the alleged incident. The criminal history assigned to the applicant has been explained. He is in jail since 26.10.2025. He undertakes to cooperate with the trial and not misuse the liberty of bail.

5. Learned A.G.A. and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the bail application but the submissions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant could not be disputed.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, taking into consideration the fact that FIR is delayed by about two days and there being no medical corroboration of the incident, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, *prima-facie* the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is ***allowed***.

7. Let the applicant- **Rahil**, be released on bail in the aforementioned case crime on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to verification of sureties, with the conditions that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

8. Breach of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail. The observations herein shall not affect the trial on merits.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

October 7, 2026
Vikas Verma

Digitally signed by :-
VIKAS VERMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad