2026:AHC:210599

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 12772 of 2026

Jagdish Kumar Tiwari And 13 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 7 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Rajesh Kumar Pandey, Vashishtha Dhar Shukla
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Kushmondeya Shahi

Court No. - 52

HON'BLE MRS. MANJU RANI CHAUHAN, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Standing Counsel for the State as well as Mr. K. Shahi, learned counsel for respondent nos.2 to 4.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed with the following prayers:

"i. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the answer of question no.102 of the revised answer key of Trained Graduate Teacher (T.G.T.), Hindi dated 28.07.2026 in pursuant to the Advertisement No.1/2022, which has issue been along with final selection list of the Trained Graduate Teacher (T.G.T.) Hindi Subject and declared the correct answer of same question as mentioned in the first answer key of Trained Graduate Teacher (T.G.T.), Hindi.

ii. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents Authorities to include the names of the petitioners in the final selection list of Trained Graduate Teacher (T.G.T.), Hindi in pursuant to the Advertisement No.1/2022.

iii. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.2 to decide the representations of the petitioner dated 05.08.2026 within a reasonable time as may be fixed by this Hon'ble Court and during the pendency of the present writ petition, no further action taken by the respondent authorities in the advertisement in question.

iv. Issue any other and further writ, order or direction, as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

V. Award costs of the writ petition to the petitioners."

3. The issue in question has already been settled by the Lucknow Bench of this Court by its judgment dated 28.09.2026 passed in **Writ-A No.8055**

of 2026 (Seema Gupta And 20 Others vs. State of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Secondary Education Deptt. Lko. And 2 Others).

4. Considering the fact that a decision has already been taken by the respondent authorities that option no. 'C' and option No.'D' both are correct in respect of question no.102, the natural consequence would be that all the candidates who had answered the question no.102 by giving answer 'C' or answer 'D' would be entitled to the marks. The respondents may take a decision and proceed with the declaration of result accordingly.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is **disposed of**, accordingly.

(Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan,J.)

October 6, 2026
K.N.

Digitally signed by :-
KALP NATH SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad