2026:AHC:209192

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 28278 of 2024

Arjun Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Santosh Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Kuldeep Tripathi, Om Prakash Yadav

---

Court No. - 81

HON'BLE PRASHANT MISHRA-I, J.

1. Sri Santosh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Kuldeep Tripathi, learned counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 and learned A.G.A. for the State are present.

2. The present 482 Cr.P.C. application has been filed to quash the summoning order dated 03.05.2024 as well as further proceeding of Complaint Case No.925 of 2023 (Smt. Vaishnavi vs. Arjun Singh and others), under Sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506 I.P.C. and Section 4 D.P. Act, P.S. Mahila Thana, District Amroha.

3. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submitted that matter was referred for mediation vide order dated 27.11.2024 passed in the instant application by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court. The mediation report dated 20.08.2025 is on record which shows "**mediation completed and agreement enclosed**". The original copy of the settlement agreement is also on record, which specifically sets out the terms and conditions of the compromise reached between the parties. Again, settlement agreement reflects that all the disputes and differences, in regard to the cases between the parties, have been amicably settled by the parties through the process of conciliation/mediation. Opposite party no.2 is ready to withdraw the prosecution of the applicant and in view of the compromise, no fruitful purpose would be served if the prosecution is allowed to go on.

4. Learned A.G.A. submitted that both the parties have settled their dispute out of the court, hence, there exists no reason to proceed further.

5. The Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Narindra Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab**, (2014) 6 SCC 466, **Parbatbhai Aahir**

@ **Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat** (2017) 9 SCC, 641, **State of M.P. Vs. Laxmi Narayanan** (2019) 5 SCC 688, wherein Hon'ble Apex Court has categorically held that compromise can be made between the parties even in respect of certain cognizable and non-compoundable offences. Reference may also be made to the decision given by this Court in **Shaifullah and others Vs. State of U.P. and another** [2013 (83) ACC 278], in which, law expounded by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the aforesaid cases has been explained in detail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, as noted herein above, and also the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the considered opinion that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging the proceedings of the above mentioned case.

7. Accordingly, the the summoning order dated 03.05.2024 as well as further proceedings of Complaint Case No.925 of 2023 (Smt. Vaishnavi vs. Arjun Singh and others), under Sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506 I.P.C. and Section 4 D.P. Act, P.S.- Mahila Thana, District- Amroha, are hereby quashed.

8. This application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is accordingly **allowed**.

(Prashant Mishra-I,J.)

October 6, 2026
Saurabh

Digitally signed by :-
SAURABH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad