2026:AHC:226597

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 29472 of 2026

Atar Singh And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 8 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Hukum Singh, Puneet Bhadauria
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Devesh Kumar Verma, Hari Narayan Singh, Suresh Chandra Verma

Court No. - 37

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard Mr. Puneet Bhadauria, learned counsel for the Petitioners, Mr. R.C. Srivastava, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State, Mr. Hari Narayan Singh, learned counsel for the Gaon Sabha and Mr. Devesh Kumar Verma, learned counsel for respondent no.3.

2. The instant petition has been filed for the following reliefs:

> ***"I. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari, to set aside the judgment and order dated 23.11.2023 passed by Sub Divisional Magistrate, Sadar, Etawah passed in Case No.- 8924 of 2020 (Balveer and Others Versus Village Panchayat and Others) under section 24 of the 'U.P. Revenue Code 2006' as well as judgment and order dated 20.05.2026 passed in Case No.- 2837 of 2023 (Atar Singh and Another Versus Balveer Singh and Others) passed by Additional Commissioner (Administration), Kanpur Region, Kanpur;***
>
> ***II. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus, commanding to the parties to maintain status quo over the suit property;"***

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submitted Proceedings under Section 24 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 have been decided

in illegal and arbitrary manner as such impugned orders should be set aside.

4. Learned counsel for respondent no.3 submitted that the order impugned is revisable under Section 210 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006, as such, instant petition should be dismissed on the ground of alternative remedy.

5. I have considered the argument advanced by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The record demonstrates that the proceeding under Section 24 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 was initiated by private respondent no.3 which was decided considering the objection of the petitioners. The record further demonstrates that the appeal filed by petitioners has been dismissed by the appellate Court.

7. Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is dismissed on the ground of alternative remedy of revision, under Section 210 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006. Petitioners are permitted to file revision under Section 210 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 within the period of three weeks from today along with prayer for condonation of delay, which shall be decided on merit in accordance with law taking liberal view on delay condonation matter.

November 2, 2026
Rameez

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
RAMEEZ AHMED
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad