2026:AHC:208074

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. - 7250 of 2026

Jagveer Singh

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Appellant(s)	:	Jitendra Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A., Pankaj Kumar, Sanjeev Patel

Court No. - 89

HON'BLE TEJ PRATAP TIWARI, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for applicant/appellant, learned A.G.A. for the State of U.P. and perused the records.

2. Instant criminal misc. suspension of sentence application has been filed to suspend execution of sentence and release the appellant/applicant on bail during the pendency of appeal before this Court in Session Case No. 123 of 2022 (State Vs. Jagveer Singh and others) arising out of Case Crime No. 178 of 2019, under Sections 323/34, 504, 506 IPC and Section 3(1)(Da), (Dha) of SC/ST Act, Police Station Gadhiya Rangeen, District Shahjahanpur with a prayer to quash the impugned order dated 01.07.2026.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the applicant/appellant submits that the applicant/appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contended that the learned court concerned while passing the impugned order dated 01.07.2026 annexed at page 9-10 of the paper book has observed that the appellant had not produced any certificate showing the reasons for which he has intended to visit abroad. The applicant has not shown clearly that in which international tournament he along with his son intended to visit abroad and whether the same had been approved by the Sports Ministry or National Sports Federation or not? It was further contended that the applicant-accused has criminal history in a case bearing Case Crime No. 350 of 2023, under Sections 147, 186, 323, 386, 427 and 506 of IPC and Section 2/3 of Public Property Damages Act, Police Station Jaitipur, District

Shahjahanpur. In light of the above, the permission for issuance of passport has not been granted by the court concerned and accordingly the application of the applicant has been rejected. Learned counsel for the appellant has further contended that the court concerned has passed an order for issuance of the passport, earlier annexed at page 40-45. At this stage, he may be granted to approach the concerned court afresh after enumerating the details.

4. Learned A.G.A. for the State has no objection to it.

5. The impugned order dated 01.07.2026 is set aside. The learned trial court is directed to consider the application afresh within fifteen days from the submission of the same along with the certified copy of this order.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the instant criminal appeal stands disposed of.

(Tej Pratap Tiwari,J.)

October 5, 2026
Aiman

Digitally signed by :-
AIMAN FAROOQUI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad