2026:AHC:212685

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 23424 of 2026

Nanhe @ Suraj @ Langra @ Maiku

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Akansha Verma, Deepak Kumar Verma, Gyanesh Kumar Mishra, Mayank Kumar Ranjan, Ruchi Verma
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Supplementary affidavit has been filed explaining criminal history, the same is taken on record.

1A. Heard Sri Mayank Kumar Ranjan, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant accused-**Nanhe @ Suraj @ Langra @ Maiku** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 36 of 2026, under Sections 303(2), 317(2) of BNS, P.S.-Araul, District- Kanpur Nagar during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for accused applicant that the accused applicant has been implicated in FIR dated 12.2.2026 lodged by Sobhit Katiyar the informant against four anonymous persons with the allegation that there was a theft in the intervening night of 5.2.2026-06.02.2026 in the field of informant wherein the parts of transformer and engine has been stolen by the thief. The accused applicant has been arrested by the police on the basis of his criminal history and implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement. The charge sheet has been submitted. The recovery of Rs.3,000 has been made from the accused applicant. The applicant has fourteen cases criminal history, wherein, in all the cases, he is on bail. He could procure and filed 12 bail orders whereas two bail orders are under search. The applicant is

languishing in jail since 4.4.2026. He seeks enlargement of accused on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the bail application.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering that the arrest and recovery has been made in absence of the independent witness, the accused applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 9, 2026
Krishna*

Digitally signed by :-
KRISHNA KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad