2026:AHC:227519

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 21509 of 2026

Amit Chaudhry @ Marinda

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Syed Shahnawaz Shah
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	Ashish Pal, Rajeev Kumar Pal, G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Syed Shahnawaz Shah, learned counsel for the accused-applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

1A. None appeared for the informant.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the accused-applicant **Amit Chaudhry @ Marinda** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 288 of 2025, under Sections 386, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, P.S.- Medical, District- Meerut, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the accused-applicant that the accused-applicant has been falsely implicated in F.I.R. dated 30.07.2025 lodged by the informant Mayank Tyagi with the allegation that the informant has been subjected to continuous threatening for extortion of Rs.2 lakhs from 25.03.2024 to 15.05.2024. Further contended that there is substantial delay in lodging the F.I.R. Seven named and two anonymous persons have been shown their role in the F.I.R. There is no transaction of money. No implicating material has been placed before the Investigating Officer, except the disclosure statement of the accused-applicant. The informant is a self proclaimed Gau Rakshak and most of the cases have been lodged against the applicant by the same informant. There is no trail of money shown. The applicant has 24 cases criminal history, where, in all the cases, he is on bail. The charge sheet is submitted. The trial is still at the nascent stage. The applicant is in jail since 20.04.2026 and hence, the applicant seeks to be released on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant, but could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. This Court in order dated 16.07.2026 seeks indulgence of the learned counsel for the applicant to explain the three cases criminal history in the order. The same is explained in the supplementary affidavit in para nos.4 to 6.

6A. Considering the role of the accused-applicant, delay in F.I.R. and that there is apparently no incriminating material, except the disclosure statement of the accused-applicant and the statement of the witness.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

November 2, 2026
Shivangi

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVANGI YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad