2026:AHC:227396

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 19327 of 2026

Vinit Chauhan @ Monu

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Achyut Jee, Arun Bhanu Shukla, Shubhangini Dwivedi
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Sushil Dubey

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Ms. Shubhangini Dwivedi, learned counsel for accused applicant, Sri Sushil Dubey, learned counsel for informant and learned A.G.A. for State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant accused-**Vinit Chauhan @ Monu** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 53 of 2025, under Sections 120-B, 504, 506, 409 IPC, P.S.- Bahadurgarh, District- Hapur, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in belated FIR dated 20.03.2025 by Abhilash Tomar the informant against the accused applicant and four other co-accused with the allegation that from 14.12.2021 to 22.10.2023, the informant has passed on nearly Rs. 25,22,894/- to the accused applicant and other co-accused for establishing a factory for preparation of medicine and syringe. It is the contention of learned counsel that it was an oral agreement Rs. 18,22,894/- has been credited to the account of accused applicant out of which substantial amount has already been transferred to the account of informant, which is disclosed in paragraph 33 and 34 of the bail application. The accused applicant has no criminal history. Charge sheet is submitted. The trial is still at the nascent stage. It was a commercial dispute wherein the losses have occurred during the period of Covid. The accused applicant is languishing in jail since 04.04.2026. He seeks enlargement of accused on bail.

4. Learned counsel for informant submits that some of the amount has already been received by the informant but while moving application under Section 482 CrPC, the accused applicant has ensured that he will pay the remaining amount in mediation. Despite the mediation, there is no transfer of amount. Hence, the bail application be rejected.

4A. Learned A.G.A. for State opposed the bail application.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering the prima facie oral agreement between the parties on the basis of which money has been transferred for the purpose of establishing the factory, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

November 2, 2026
Sharad/-

Digitally signed by :-
SHARAD KUMAR SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad