**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 13000 of 2025**

Smt. Laxmi And 5 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Counsel for Petitioner(s) | : | Akhand Pratap Singh, Shobhit Yadav |
| Counsel for Respondent(s) | : | G.A. |

**Court No. - 47**

**HON'BLE RAJEEV MISRA, J.**
**HON'BLE DR. AJAY KUMAR-II, J.**

Case called out in revised list.

Even though the names of Mr. Akhand Pratap Singh and Shobhit Yadav are duly published in the cause list as counsel for petitioners but neither they nor anyone on their behalf is present to press this writ petition even in revised call.

Learned A.G.A. representing State-respondents 1, 2 is present.

Petitioners- Smt. Laxmi And 5 Others have approached this Court by means of present Criminal Misc. Writ Petition challenging the impugned F.I.R. dated 23.2.2025 lodged by first informant/respondent-3 Vimlesh, registered as Case Crime No. 31 of 2025, under Sections 137(2), 61(2) BNS, Police Station- Pailani, District- Banda

Present writ petition came up for admission on 19.6.2025 and this Court passed the following order:-

**"Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned AGA for the State.**

**CJM, Banda is directed to get the statement of petitioner no.1, Smt. Laxmi, recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S. and also get the Ossification test conducted through CMO concerned and send both this case court in sealed cover.**

**List this case on 14th July, 2025 as fresh case.**

**Till the next date of listing, petitioners shall not be arrested pursuant to the first information report dated 23.02.2025 giving rise to Case Crime No.31 of 2025, under Sections 137(2) and 61(2) of B.N.S, Police Station Pailani, District Banda. "**

Aforementioned interim order dated 19.6.2025 was extended on 14.7.2025. Upon perusal of order sheet we find that there is nothing on record to show

that aforementioned interim order was extended subsequent to the order dated 14.7.2025. As such, no interim order is operating in favour of petitioners in present writ petition.

Considering the above and also the fact that the impugned F.I.R. was lodged on 23.2.2025 coupled with the fact that a period of 1 year and nine months has expired since then, in all probability the investigation of concerned case crime number must have been brought to it's logical conclusion.

We are therefore, of the considered opinion that by efflux of time present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

It is accordingly dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.

**November 2, 2026**
Arshad

**(Dr. Ajay Kumar-II,J.)  (Rajeev Misra,J.)**

Digitally signed by :-
ARSHAD MAHMOOD
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad