2026:AHC:211312-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 10978 of 2025

Satish Singh And 3 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Dinesh Kumar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 47

HON'BLE RAJEEV MISRA, J.
HON'BLE DR. AJAY KUMAR-II, J.

1. Heard Mr. Dinesh Kumar, the learned counsel for petitioners and the learned A.G.A. representing State-respondents-1 and 2.

2. Perused the record.

3. Petitioners- Satish Singh and three others have approached this Court by means of present Criminal Misc. Writ Petition challenging the impugned F.I.R. dated 09.05.2025 lodged by first informant-respondent-3, Santu and registered as Case Crime No. 209 of 2025, under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) BNS and 3 (1) Da, 3(1) Dha SC/ST Act, P.S. Govardhan, District Mathura.

4. Against the impugned FIR, another writ petition being Criminal Misc. Writ Petition No. 10370 of 2025 (Bhoop Singh and 4 others vs. State of U.P. and 2 others) was filed before this Court, which was disposed off vide order dated 30.05.2025. Order dated 30.05.2025 reads as under:

" This writ petition has been filed for quashing the FIR dated 9.5.2025 bearing Case Crime no. 209 of 2025 under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) of B.N.S. and sections 3(1)(d), 3(1)(dh) of SC/ST Act, P.S. Govardhan, District Mathura.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri Raunak Chaturvedi, learned counsel for respondent no. 3 and learned AGA for the State.

There is allegation in the FIR regarding execution of fraudulent sale deed. Learned counsel for the parties agreed that police is investigating the matter.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed off with direction that till cognizance

is taken in police report submitted under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. (new Section 193(3) BNSS), the respondents are restrained from arresting the petitioners in the aforesaid case crime.

The investigation shall be concluded within a period of 60 days"

5. In view of above, the investigation must have been completed by now.

6. In view of above, we are of the considered opinion that by efflux of time, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

7. It is accordingly **dismissed** as having rendered infructuous.

8. Interim order, if any, granted earlier shall stand vacated.

October 8, 2026
Monika

(Dr. Ajay Kumar-II,J.) (Rajeev Misra,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
MONIKA KESARWANI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad