2026:AHC:210654-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 10086 of 2025

Amit Sharma

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Ashok Kumar, Raj Kumar Gautam, Shishir Kumar, Vimlesh Gautam
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Akanksha Srivastava, G.A., Quazi Mohammad Akaram

Court No. - 47

HON'BLE RAJEEV MISRA, J.
HON'BLE JAI KRISHNA UPADHYAY, J.

1. Case called out in revised list.

2. Even though the names of Mr. Rajkumar Gautam and Mr. Vimlesh Gautam are published in cause list as counsel for petitioner, but neither they nor anyone on their behalf is present to press present writ petition, even in revised call.

3. The Learned AGA representing State respondents 1, 2 and 3 is present.

4. Perused the record.

5. Petitioner Amit Sharma has approached this Court by means of present criminal misc. writ petition, challenging the impugned FIR dated 02.05.2025 lodged by first informant respondent 4 Sneha Sharma and registered as Case Crime No. 0192 of 2025, under Sections 85, 115 (2), 352, 109 (1), 127 (2) of B.N.S., 2023 and Section 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act, Police Station Kithore, District Meerut.

6.Present writ petition came up for admission on 19.05.2025 and this Court passed the following order:-

"1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.G.A. appearing for the State-respondents, learned counsel for the informant and perused the material brought on the record.

2. The petitioners, by means of this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has invoked the inherent jurisdiction of this Court with prayer to quash the impugned First Information Report dated 02.05.2025 registered as Case Crime No.0192 of 2025, under Section 85, 115(2), 352, 109(1), 127(2) B.N.S., 2023 and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, P.S. - Kithore, District- Meerut. Further prayer had been made not to arrest the petitioners in pursuance of the impugned First Information Report.

3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the present matter relates to matrimonial dispute, which may be amicably settled by way of mediation and conciliation. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that there is every possibility of mediation in the present case, therefore, the matter may be referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

4. Issue notice to the respondent no.4, returnable at an early date. Steps may be taken within a week.

5. In view of the above, it is directed that petitioners shall deposit a sum of Rs.35,000/- within ten days from today with the Mediation Centre of this Court out of which Rs.30,000/- shall be paid to the opposite party no.4, on the date fixed, for her appearance before the Mediation Centre and Rs. 5000/- shall be retained by the Mediation Centre as mediation fee.

6. The matter is remitted to the Mediation Centre with the direction that after deposit of such amount, by the petitioners, it shall issue notice to the parties fixing some date for mediation and shall make all possible efforts to conclude the mediation and conciliation proceedings expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months.

7. List after expiry of aforesaid period before the appropriate Bench along with the report of Mediation Centre as well as along with the record of Criminal Misc. Writ Petition No.9979 of 2025 (Smt. Sarita Sharma and 2 Others Vs. State of U.P. and 3 Others).

8. Till the next date of listing, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners in the above mentioned case.

9. It is made clear that in case there occurs default by the petitioners either in depositing the amount or in appearing before the Mediation Centre on the date fixed, the interim order shall cease to operate and the Mediation Centre shall immediately communicate with the office which in turn shall list the case within a week before the appropriate Bench for passing orders in the matter."

7. In compliance of above order, parties appeared before Mediation and Conciliation Centre High Court Allahabad and a settlement agreement dated 24.08.2025 was drawn.

8. In view of the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties, we find that no cause of action now survives.

9.The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution.

10.Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.

October 7, 2026
AKT

(Jai Krishna Upadhyay,J.) (Rajeev Misra,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
ASHOK KUMAR TRIPATHI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad