2026:AHC:209254-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 9686 of 2025

Smt. Laxmi Mala Rajput And 4 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Up And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Sanjay Kumar Shukla
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 47

HON'BLE RAJEEV MISRA, J.
HON'BLE JAI KRISHNA UPADHYAY, J.

1.Case called out in revised list.

2. Even though the name of Mr. Sanjay Kumar Shukla is duly published in the cause list as counsel for petitioner but neither he nor anyone on his behalf is present to press the present writ petition even in revised call.

3. Learned AGA representing State respondents 1 and 2 is present.

4. Perused the record.

5. Petitioners Laxmi Mala Rajput and four others have approached this court by means of present criminal misc. writ petition challenging the FIR dated 02.04.2025 lodged by first informant-respondent no.3 Champa and registered as Case Crime No. 156 of 2025, under Section 87 B.N.S., Police Station Collector Bukganj, District Bareilly.

6. Present writ petition came up for admission on 14.05.2025 and this court passed the following order:-

"1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.G.A. for the State-respondents.

2. This writ petition has been filed for quashing of the First Information Report dated 02.04.2025 giving rise to Case Crime No. 0156 of 2025, under Section 87 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station - Collector Bukganj, District - Bareilly.

3. Contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the petitioner no. 1, Smt. Laxmi Mala Rajput, and the petitioner no. 2, Raju @ Gatto, are adults and they have married each other of their own free will. Learned counsel for the petitioners

further states that the petitioners no. 3, 4 and 5 have been falsely roped in the instant case for no reason whatsoever.

4. Matter requires consideration.

5. Respondents no. 1 and 2 are represented by learned A.G.A. Issue notice to respondent no. 3.

6. Respondents may file counter affidavit within a period of three weeks. Rejoinder affidavit if any may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

7. List thereafter.

8. Till the next date of listing or till the submission of police report, whichever is earlier, the petitioners shall not be arrested. "

7. Order sheet shows that office has submitted a report dated 05.10.2026 stating therein that learned counsel for petitioners has not taken steps for service upon respondent no.3 in terms of interim order dated 14.05.2025. Since steps have not been taken by learned counsel for petitioners in compliance of the order dated 14.05.2025, therefore, present writ petition is hereby **dismissed.**

8. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

October 6, 2026
AKT

(Jai Krishna Upadhyay,J.) (Rajeev Misra,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
ASHOK KUMAR TRIPATHI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad