2026:AHC:212568-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 6251 of 2025

Pranjal Chaudhari And 5 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Up And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Krishna Kant Dubey, Santosh Kumar Dubey
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Dhirendra Kumar Agrahari, G.A.

Court No. - 47

HON'BLE RAJEEV MISRA, J.
HON'BLE DR. AJAY KUMAR-II, J.

1. Case called out in revised list.

2. Even though the names of Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey and Mr. Santosh Kumar Dubey are duly published in the cause list as counsel for petitioners but neither they nor anyone on their behalf is present to press the present writ petition, even in revised call.

3. Learned AGA representing State-respondents 1, 2 is present.

4. Though the name of Mr. Dhirendra Kumar Agrahari is also published in cause list as counsel for first informant / respondent 3, Smt. Deep Shikha but neither he nor anyone on his behalf is present to oppose the present writ petition, even in revised call.

5. Petitioners-Pranjal Chaudhari And 5 Others have approached this Court by means of present Criminal Misc. Writ Petition challenging the impugned FIR dated 19.02.2025, lodged by first informant/respondent 3, Smt. Deep Shikha and and registered as Case Crime No. 175 of 2022 under Sections 85, 115 (2), 352(2), 109 BNS, Police Station-Sikandrabad, District-Bulandshahar.

6. Present writ petition came up for admission on 05.04.2025 and this Court passed the following order:

"1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri Dhirendra Kumar Agrahari, learned counsel for the Respondent No. 3, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused

the record.

2. The quintessence of the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioners is that matrimonial dispute is in the genesis of this case. There are chances of amicable settlement between the parties. Petitioners counsel strenuously urged that this case may be referred to the Mediation Centre of this Court so that the litigants may have a chance to settle their dispute on their own terms through mediation.

3. The Court is satisfied on the basis of record and the submissions made before it that the nature of litigation is such that the chances to resolve the matter through process of conciliation do exist and an attempt ought to be made to explore that possibility.

4. Accordingly, the matter is being referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, High Court, Allahabad. The petitioners will deposit Rs. 20,000/- within four weeks at the Mediation Centre. In case the amount is deposited, the Mediation Centre will issue notices to both the parties returnable within a period of two weeks. Out of the same Rs.15,000/- will be paid to respondent no.3 for subsistence and to meet out the expenses. The mediator is allowed two months' time to find out possible solution of the dispute between the parties and send his report to the court regarding the outcome of mediation.

5. List this case before the appropriate Bench along with report of the mediator on 3.7.2025.

6. Till then, the petitioners shall not be arrested in pursuance of the impugned F.I.R. dated 19.2.2025 arising out of Case Crime No.175 of 2025, under Sections 85, 115(2), 351(2), 109 B.N.S., 2023 and Section 3/4 D.P. Act, Police Station- Sikandrabad, District- Bulandshahr, provided the petitioners file the receipt of deposit of amount as directed above along with the present order.

7. It is made clear that in case of default in depositing the amount as ordered above or on non-participation of the petitioners in the mediation proceedings, the interim stay order shall stand automatically vacated.

8. This case shall not be treated as tied up or part heard with this Bench.

Order Date :- 5.4.2025 "

7. Subsequent to above order dated 05.04.2025, parties appeared before Mediation and Conciliation Centre, High Court, Allahabad. However, no settlement could be arrived at between the parties. The same is explicit from the report dated 27.06.2025 submitted by the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, High Court, Allahabad. Upon perusal of the impugned FIR, we find

that the same discloses commission of a cognizable offence. As such, no interference is warranted by this Court in present writ petition.

8. Present writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

9. Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.

October 9, 2026
YK

(Dr. Ajay Kumar-II,J.) (Rajeev Misra,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
YASHWANT KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad