**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 11151 of 2025**

Shadab

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

---

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Counsel for Applicant(s) | : | Abdul Majeed, Pramod Kumar Singh, Sufia Saba |
| Counsel for Opposite Party(s) | : | G.A. |

---

**Court No. - 68**

**HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.**

1. List has been revised. Supplementary affidavit filed today by the learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.

2. Heard Sri Pramod Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Ifrah Islam, learned State Law Officer and also perused the material placed on record.

3. Applicant seeks bail in **Case Crime No.** 569 of 2023, **under Sections** 420, 467, 468, 471, 406, 506 of IPC, **Police Station** - Loni Border, **District** - Ghaziabad, during the pendency of trial.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the applicant is alleged to have executed a sale-deed in favour of the informant, but subsequently executed a gift-deed in respect of the same property in favour of his wife and obtained a loan against the said property, thereby allegedly defrauding the informant. It is urged that a purely civil dispute has been given a criminal colour. The applicant has been in judicial custody since 18.02.2025, and has thus undergone a substantial period of incarceration of about one year and eight months. Furthermore, the single criminal history assigned to the applicant stands duly explained in the supplementary affidavit filed today in Court, and the trial has shown no significant progress.

5. This Court had previously called for a status report regarding the progress of the trial. In compliance with the said direction, a report has been submitted by the concerned Trial Court on 25.09.2026. A perusal of the

report reveals that charges against the applicant were framed only on 30.05.2026, and till date, only the examination-in-chief of PW-1 has been recorded, with the matter currently fixed for her cross-examination. Crucially, the report explicitly notes that no adjournment has been sought on behalf of the applicant at any stage of the trial.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, the nature of the offence, the severity of the punishment, and also keeping in view the the explanation offered for his criminal history, and the substantial period of incarceration of about one year and eight months already undergone by the applicant, this Court *prima facie* finds it a fit case to release the applicant on bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**. Let the applicant- **Shadab,** be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to verification of sureties, with the conditions that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

8. Breach of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail. The observations herein shall not affect the trial on merits.

**November 2, 2026**
Siddhant

**(Krishan Pahal,J.)**

Digitally signed by :-
SIDDHANT SAHU
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad