**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 8172 of 2026**

Amerjeet

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Counsel for Applicant(s) | : | Abhinav Tripathi, Abhishek Kumar Singh, Devashish Mitra, Devendra Kumar Tiwari |
| Counsel for Opposite Party(s) | : | G.A. |

**Court No. - 64**

**HON'BLE VIVEK VARMA, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, Sri N. K. Sharma, learned AGA for the State-opposite party. Perused the material available on record.

2. The present bail application has been filed with the prayer to enlarge the applicant on bail in Case Crime No.1178 of 2025, under Sections 69, 351(2) B.N.S. and Section 67 I. T. Act, Police Station Baradari, District Bareilly, during the pendency of the trial.

3. Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. Placing reliance upon a certificate issued by Arya Samaj Vohit C. B. Ganj, Bareilly, it is contended that the applicant had married the informant/ victim on 23.06.2025 and informant being the applicant's wife voluntarily established physical relations with her. The applicant has not circulated or made viral any indecent photographs of the victim/ informant. No indecent photographs of the victim has been collected by the Investigating Officer. Only the marriage photographs of the applicant and victim has been forwarded. At this stage, there is no corroborative evidence to link the applicant with the offence. The applicant is in jail since 12.11.2025 having no criminal antecedents and in case he is enlarged on bail, he will not misuse the said liberty.

4. Learned AGA has opposed the prayer for bail but could not satisfactorily dispute the aforesaid submissions from the record.

5. Having heard counsel for the parties and having perused the record, this Court *prima facie* finds that as per the certificate issued by Arya Samaj Vohit C. B. Ganj, Bareilly the applicant had married the informant/ victim on 23.06.2025. At this stage, there is no corroborative evidence to link the

applicant with the offence. Further, the applicant has no criminal antecedents and has remained confined for more than eleven months and after submission of charge sheet there is no hope of early conclusion of trial, more so when no reasonable apprehension has been brought to the fore by the State that the applicant, if enlarged on bail, would either tamper with the evidence or delay the trial or intimidate the witness, without commenting on merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

6. Let the applicant, **Amerjeet** involved in the aforesaid case be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned on the following conditions that:

i. the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;

ii. the applicant shall not pressurize the prosecution witness;

iii. the applicant shall appear on each and every date fixed by the trial court.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move bail cancellation application before this Court.

**October 23, 2026**
Abhishek

**(Vivek Varma, J.)**

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad