2026:AHC:208620

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 7508 of 2024

Shailesh Sharma And 6 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of Upand Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Rajesh Kumar Tiwari
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A., Roma Verma, Virendra Kumar Mishra

---

Court No. - 80

HON'BLE CHAWAN PRAKASH, J.

1. Supplementary affidavit filed today, is taken on record.

2. Heard Sri Rajesh Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant, Ms. Roma Verma, learned counsel for opposite party No.2, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

3. The present application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the applicants with a prayer to quash the charge sheet no.1 of 2023 dated 06.08.2023, cognizance/summoning order dated 13.10.2023 and entire proceeding of Case No.6138 of 2024 (State versus Shailesh Sharma and others), arising out case crime no.128 of 2023, under sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506 IPC and 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act against the applicant no.1 to 5 and under sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506, 354A IPC and 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act against the applicant no.6 and under section 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act against the applicant no.7, Police Station-Lohta, District-Varanasi, pending before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Varanasi.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant and opposite party no. 2 have entered into a compromise and they have amicably settled their dispute outside the court and no dispute is pending between them, therefore, do not want to get adjudication of alleged criminal act and prayed that the aforesaid criminal case may be quashed. He further submits that the applicant shall file compromise deed before

the learned trial court for verification.

5. Learned A.G.A. for the State as well as learned counsel for opposite party No.2 do not dispute the correctness of the submission made by learned counsel for the applicant or the correctness of the documents relied upon by him. They submit that opposite party no. 2 have no objection, if the parties approach trial court to file compromise deed in the given circumstances.

6. Whether the parties have entered into compromise, can best be adjudicated by the trial court. As such, the parties may appear before the trial court and file a fresh compromise deed entered into between the parties within 30 days, which shall be verified by the trial court. The trial court shall place the original compromise deed in its record.

7. No compromise shall be entertained by the trial court if the informant/victim and accused are not signatories and appear before the court of its verification. In case of exigency, the trial court shall apply its mind and pass appropriate order.

8. For a period of two months, the proceedings of the trial court shall be kept in abeyance, if the compromise is filed and verified within stipulated period, otherwise, the trial court shall continue with the trial in accordance with law.

9. All the parties, who files compromise deed and get it verified shall then file a joint application invoking inherent power of this Court to quash the criminal proceeding.

10. In view of above, the application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is accordingly **disposed of**.

(Chawan Prakash,J.)

October 6, 2026
Md Faisal

Digitally signed by :-
MOHD FAISAL
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad