2026:AHC:227581

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 20913 of 2019

Smt. Anita and another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and 2 others

.....Opposite Party(s)

Along with :

1. **Application U/s 482 No. 6583 of 2019:**
   Sunil

   Versus

   State of U.P. and 2 others

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Kandarp Srivastava, Kaustubh Srivastava, Vivek Dhaka

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A., Pawan Kumar

Court No. - 82

HON'BLE JAI PRAKASH TIWARI, J.

Heard learned counsel for applicants, learned counsel for the opposite party nos. 2 and 3 as well as the learned AGA for the State and perused the record.

The above applications u/s 482 Cr.P.C. have been filed with the separate prayers to quash the entire proceedings against the applicants in Criminal Case No. 3771 of 2017, arising out of Case Crime No. 325 of 2017, under Sections 498A, 323, 328, 120B, 504, 506, 307, 326B IPC and Section 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act in Application U/S 482 No. 20913 of 2019 [Smt. Anita and another (who are in-laws of the opposite party no. 2) vs. State of U.P. and 2 others], as well as entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 3771 of 2018, under Sections 498A, 323, 504, 506, 307, 326B, 120B IPC and Section 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act and charge sheet dated 15.4.2018 and cognizance order dated 1.6.2018 in Application U/S 482 No. 6583 of 2019 [Sunil (husband) vs. State of U.P. and others], on the basis of compromise, so far it relates to the present applicants.

As per short counter affidavit(s) filed on behalf of the opposite party nos. 2 and 3, they submitted that after submission of charge sheets on the initiation of the respectable persons of the locality and the relatives of both families, Sunil, the brother of the applicant no. 2 and his wife Smt. Alka (opposite party no. 2) settled their dispute and have decided to live amicably and peacefully forgetting all the disputes between them and both of them decided to live together and they agreed that all civil and criminal cases filed by them against each other shall be treated to be withdrawn and they will file proper applications before the appropriate courts for the said purpose.

Learned counsel for the applicants submits that in compliance of the orders dated 13.2.2023 passed by this Court, this Court has directed that if the parties are said to have entered into a compromise, the parties are directed to appear before the trial court within 15 days and file their compromise deed before the trial court. If the parties submits their compromise within time stipulated, the trial court shall verify the compromise deed, pass an appropriate order thereon and transmit the certified copy of verified compromise deed and order passed thereon, to this Court within 15 days thereafter. Similar order has been passed on 25.2.2019 in Application u/s 482 No. 6583 of 2019.

Supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the applicants dated 18.8.2026 alongwith certified copy of verification report passed on compromise deed filed on behalf of the husband Sunil and opposite party no. 2 wife namely Smt. Alka is already on record. It is submitted that the concerned court vide its order dated 15.3.2019 verified the said compromise in the presence of the applicant Sunil and opposite party no.2, copy of which is annexed as Annexure- S.A.-1 to the supplementary-affidavit filed by the applicants.

It is further submitted that, since the matrimonial dispute between the applicant, namely Sunil (husband), and opposite party no. 2, namely Smt. Alka (wife of the applicant), has been amicably settled, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the application under Section 482 Cr.P.C., filed by the applicants (in-laws of opposite party no. 2), pending before this Court. Accordingly, the present application may also be disposed of

in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

Learned Additional Government Advocate has submitted that since the parties have entered into compromise, which has also been verified by the trial court, therefore, no useful purpose would be served if the proceedings of the aforesaid case go on further.

Learned counsel for the opposite party nos. 2 and 3 is also present. He has no objection to the statement, made above.

In view of the above, it is deemed proper and meet the ends of justice that the proceeding of the aforementioned case be quashed.

The present 482 Cr.P.C. applications stand **allowed**, keeping in view the compromise made by the applicant-Sunil (husband) as well as opposite party no.2 (wife). The the entire proceedings against the applicants in Criminal Case No. 3771 of 2017, arising out of Case Crime No. 325 of 2017, under Sections 498A, 323, 328, 120B, 504, 506, 307, 326B IPC and Section 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act in Application U/S 482 No. 20913 of 2019 as well as entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 3771 of 2018, under Sections 498A, 323, 504, 506, 307, 326B, 120B IPC and Section 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act and charge sheet dated 15.4.2018 and cognizance order dated 1.6.2018 in Application U/S 482 No. 6583 of 2019 (Sunit vs. State of U.P. and others) are hereby **quashed, so far as it relates to the applicants herein.**

(Jai Prakash Tiwari,J.)

November 2, 2026
Brijesh

Digitally signed by :-
BRIJESH KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad