HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 14997 of 2019

Chandra Shekhar Ram @Shekhar And 5 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Shravan Kumar Pandey, Shyam Narain Pandey
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 82

HON'BLE JAI PRAKASH TIWARI, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for applicants, learned counsel for O.P. No.2 as well as the learned AGA for the State and perused the record.

2. This application u/s 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed with the prayer to quash the entire proceeding of the Complaint Case No. 2996 of 2018 (Shobhana vs. Chandra Shekhar & Others) under Sections 498-A, 323, 504 IPC and 3/4 D.P. Act, Police Station-Raipurwa, District Kanpur Nagar pending before the Court of learned A.C.M.M.-I, Kanpur Nagar, it is further prayed to stay the effect and operation of the summoning order dated 30.11.2018 arising out of the aforesaid case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the present case arises out of a matrimonial dispute between applicant no. 1 and opposite party no. 2 (husband and wife respectively). It is further submitted that the marriage between applicant no. 1 and opposite party no. 2 has already been dissolved by a decree of divorce dated 28.07.2025 on the basis of mutual consent. It is next submitted that thereafter, the parties have amicably settled their disputes and entered into a compromise. The compromise deed executed between the parties was placed before the court concerned for verification and the same was duly identified by the said court in presence of both the parties on 27.02.2026. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure No. S.A.-3 to the supplementary affidavit dated 29.09.2026.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of opposite party no. 2 is also

present and has no objection to the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicants and he has no objection, if the entire proceedings pursuant to the present case is quashed.

5. Learned A.G.A. for the State submits that the parties have entered into a compromise, which has been placed before the court concerned for verification and opposite party no. 2 has also expressed no objection, if the proceedings in question is quashed and the dispute is purely private in nature. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by allowing the proceedings of the aforesaid complaint case to continue.

6. In view of the above, it is deemed proper and meet the ends of justice that the proceeding of the aforementioned case be quashed.

7. The present 482 Cr.P.C. application stands **allowed**, keeping in view the compromise made by the applicants as well as opposite party no.2. The effect and operation of the summoning order dated 30.11.2018 and the entire proceedings of the Complaint Case No. 2996 of 2018 (Shobhana vs. Chandra Shekhar & Others) under Sections 498-A, 323, 504 IPC and 3/4 D.P. Act, Police Station-Raipurwa, District Kanpur Nagar pending before the Court of learned A.C.M.M.-I, Kanpur Nagar is hereby **quashed,** so far as it relates to the applicants herein.

(Jai Prakash Tiwari,J.)

October 29, 2026
KK Patel

Digitally signed by :-
KRISHN KANT PATEL
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad