HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 11754 of 2019

Ajay Kumar Srivastava And 7 Ors

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And Anr

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Pavan Kumar Srivastava
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Puneet Kumar Verma

Court No. - 82

HON'BLE JAI PRAKASH TIWARI, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicants, learned counsel for opposite party no. 2 and learned AGA for the State are present.

2. Learned counsel for the parties submitted that both applicant no. 1 - Ajay Kumar Srivastava (husband) and opposite party no. 2 - Priti Srivastava (wife) have entered into compromise clearing their all differences and are now living together peacefully and happily as husband and wife and enjoying their married life. In this regard, the counsel for the applicants has already filed a supplementary affidavit filed on 22.02.2026.

3. As per the supplementary affidavit, the present Criminal Misc. Application has been filed before this Court assailing the summoning order dated 16.07.2018 passed by the Judicial Magistrate, Ghazipur in Complaint Case No. 2682 of 2017, under Section 498-A, 323, 504, 506 IPC and 3/4 DP Act, Police Station - Karanda, District - Ghazipur.

4. It is evident that both the parties entered into a compromise on 24.11.2021 in a Maintenance Case No. 641 of 2017 (Priti Srivastava Vs. Ajay Kumar Srivastava). After that the matter was placed before the Lok Adalat, which was disposed of vide order dated 11.12.2021. In support of his submission, learned counsel for the applicants has annexed copy of compromise deed executed on 24.11.2021 and copy of order dated 11.12.2021 disposing of the matter by the Lok Adalat, as Annexure-SA-1.

5. Applicant no. 1 (husband) and opposite party no. 2 (wife) have settled their dispute amicably and are living together happily as husband and wife. There is no any conflict between them. The other applicants are brothers, parents and family members applicant no. 1 and in-laws of opposite party no. 2.

6. Learned counsel for opposite party no. 2 has also not raised any objection to the application being allowed.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it is deemed proper and meet the ends of justice that the proceeding of the aforementioned case be quashed.

8. The present 482 Cr.P.C. application stands **allowed,** keeping in view the compromise made by the applicants as well as opposite party no. 2. The entire proceedings of Criminal Case Complaint Case No. 2682 of 2017 (Priti Srivastava Vs. Ajay Kumar Srivastava and others), under Section 498-A, 323, 504, 506 IPC and 3/4 DP Act, Police Station - Karanda, District - Ghazipur, so far as it relates to the present applicants is hereby quashed.

(Jai Prakash Tiwari,J.)

October 27, 2026
S.K.S.

Digitally signed by :-
SUNIL KUMAR SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad